

Preliminary Review of Pesticide Classification Systems in Other Public Agencies

| Organization | General Description of Spaces Managed | Classification System In Place? | Program Features | Ongoing Maintenance of System |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Contra Costa County | 660 miles of roads , 79 miles of streams and creeks, and 93 county buildings. | No | Annual report contains current and historic usage on County properties and highlights PANNA* Bad Actor Pesticides + work of Decision Making Subcomm. | |
| Marin County | 148 sites that include county parks and libraries. | Yes | List of Allowed Pesticides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One list for landscape pesticides; separate list for structural pesticides. • Indicates whether each chemical is on any of the following lists: Organic Certification, Minimum Risk/Eco Exempt, Groundwater, Prop 65, and EPA Carcinogenicity. | Board of Supervisors approve the list annually after IPM Coordinator and IPM Commission review it. |
| City and County of San Francisco | SFO, Public Utilities Commission (East Bay, Peninsula, & Hetch Hetchy), Port of San Francisco, Municipal Transportation Agency, Department of Public Health, Public Works Department, Housing Authority, and Recreation and Park Department. | Yes | Three tiered Reduced -Risk Pesticide List: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Least Restricted (Tier 3). These products are generally the least hazardous pesticides on the list. • More Restricted (Tier 2). These products include specific restrictions on allowable situations. • Most Restricted (Tier 1). These are pesticide products that pose the greatest health or environmental concerns, but which are nevertheless considered the least-hazardous chemical alternative for a particular purpose. Use of “Most Restricted” products must be justified at an annual public hearing. | Formerly, Pesticide Research Institute (PRI) would evaluate new products. Now that PRI is not active, IPM Program staff perform tier determinations with the help of the PANNA* website and other databases. Toxicology consultants are retained for anything larger than tier determinations. |
| Alameda County | 473 Centerline roadways, 561 miles of flood control creeks, channels and culverts, 166 buildings comprising 6.7 million square feet, 450 acres of open space and landscaped areas | No | | |
| San Joaquin County | 1,672 miles of roadways and approximatey 90 facilities comprising 2 million square feet (not including airports, hospitals, and a few other sites). | No | | |
| Sacramento County | 6 million square feet of County owned facilities and 2,200 miles of roadways. | No | | |
| Solano County | 585 miles of roadways and approximately 1 million square feet of County-owned facilities. | No | | |
| Santa Clara County | 52,140 park acres, 635 miles of rural and urban roadways, 1.8 million square feet of interior space (excluding hospitals). | Yes | Approved List of Pesticides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Documents limitations and exemptions for usage • Includes comments from the consultant that provides additional information on many of the products listed. | An initial review of approximately 300+ pesticide products was conducted in consultation with Dr. Lois Levitan at Cornell University. The County IPM manager reviews additional products based on objective and subjective criteria. |
| San Mateo County | 315 Miles of roadside vegetation and drainage channels, 73 County facilities, with a total square footage of approximately 1.7 million, and | No | | |