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The Preexposure Prophylaxis for the Prevention of HIV Infection in the United States – 2014 was published in an electronic format in July 2014 so that it could be updated as relevant changes in supporting evidence became available. The Preexposure Prophylaxis for the Prevention of HIV Infection in the United States – 2016: Update – A Clinical Practice Guideline includes revisions to several sections. These revisions are highlighted throughout the document and are intended solely to update the developing evidence base or to clarify specific points in clinical care. No changes were made to the graded recommendations for the use of PrEP in the US.

Evidence of the Safety and Efficacy of Antiretroviral Prophylaxis

Based on an updated systematic review of publications through June 2017, data from trials and open-label studies were added to the text summary and evidence tables.

Identifying Indications for PrEP

In Box B3 (recommended indications for PrEP use by injection drug users) we deleted whether they had been in drug treatment in the prior 6 months as this was causing confusion for many clinicians.

Laboratory Tests and other Diagnostic Procedures

We replaced the HIV test characteristic tables previously in appendices with a link to a CDC website that is more frequently updated.

The figure and text on testing by clinicians to determine HIV status for PrEP provision (including detection of acute HIV infection) was revised to include a preference for antigen/antibody testing whenever available (rather than antibody-only tests) and use of a 3,000 copies/ml cut-off for suspected false-positive viral load tests.
Additional information about hepatitis C screening associated with provision of PrEP is provided, consistent with the 2017 American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) and the Infectious Disease Society of America (IDSA) guidance.

**Providing PrEP**

Ledipasvir/sofosbuvir was added to the table (10) of drug interactions

Tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) was added to the section “What not to use”

We revised the clinical follow up schedule to include STI testing for asymptomatic MSM at high risk for recurrent STIs (e.g., those with recent STIs or multiple sex partners) at the 3 month visit in addition to testing for all symptomatic sexually-active persons. This is consistent with 2015 STD guidelines recommendation for STD screening every 3-6 months with multiple sex partners ([https://www.cdc.gov/std/tg2015/tg-2015-print.pdf](https://www.cdc.gov/std/tg2015/tg-2015-print.pdf)).

Minor revisions were also made to correct typos, add references, and update content from cited guidelines and source materials.
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For more clinical advice about PrEP guidelines:

- call the National Clinicians Consultation Center PrEPline at 855-448-7737 or
- go to their website at http://nccc.ucsf.edu/clinician-consultation/prep-pre-exposure-prophylaxis/
## Table of Contents

List of Tables, Figures, and Boxes.................................................................6

Abbreviations (In Guideline and Clinical Provider Supplement)....................7

Summary...........................................................................................................9

Introduction.....................................................................................................12

Evidence of Need for Additional HIV Prevention Methods.............................13

Evidence of the Safety and Efficacy of Antiretroviral Prophylaxis......................14

Published Trials of Antiretroviral Preexposure Prophylaxis Among Men Who Have Sex with Men.................................................................14

  iPrEx (Preexposure Prophylaxis Initiative) Trial..............................................14

  US MSM Safety Trial.......................................................................................15

  Adolescent Trials Network (ATN) 082.............................................................16

  Ipergay (Intervention Préventive de l’Exposition aux Risques avec et pour les Gays) ..........16

Published Observational and Open-Label Studies of Antiretroviral Preexposure Prophylaxis Among Men Who Have Sex with Men................17

  iPrEx Open-Label Extension (OLE) Study.....................................................17

  PROUD............................................................................................................17

  Kaiser Permanente.........................................................................................18

  Demo Project..................................................................................................18

  Ipergay Open-Label Extension (OLE) Study................................................18

Published Trials of Antiretroviral Preexposure Prophylaxis Among Heterosexual Men an Women.........................................................................................19

  Partners PrEP Trial.........................................................................................19

  TDF2 Trial......................................................................................................19

  FEM-PrEP Trial..............................................................................................20
Phase 2 Trial of Preexposure Prophylaxis with Tenofovir Among Women in Ghana, Cameroon, and Nigeria

VOICE (Vaginal and Oral Interventions to Control the Epidemic) Trial

Published Trial of Antiretroviral Preexposure Prophylaxis Among Persons Who Inject Drugs

Bangkok Tenofovir Study (BTS)

Published Observational and Open-Label Studies of Antiretroviral Preexposure Prophylaxis Among Persons Who Inject Drugs

Bangkok Tenofovir Study (BTS) OLE

Identifying Indications for PrEP

Assessing Risk of Sexual HIV Acquisition

Assessing Risk of HIV Acquisition Through Injection Practices

Laboratory Tests and Other Diagnostic Procedures

HIV Testing

Acute HIV Infection

Renal Function

Hepatitis Serology

Testing for Sexually Transmitted Infections

Providing PrEP

Goals of PrEP Therapy

Indicated Medication

What Not to Use

Time to achieving protection

Managing side effects

Clinical Follow-Up and Monitoring

Optional Assessments

Bone Health
List of Tables, Figures, and Boxes

Table 1 Summary of Guidance for PrEP Use .................................................................11
Table 2 PrEP Evidence Summary—GRADE Overall Evidence Quality RCTs .................24
Table 3 PrEP Evidence Summary—HIV Incidence Findings RCTs ....................................25
Table 4 PrEP Evidence Summary—Measures of Efficacy by Medication Adherence RCTs ........26
Table 5 PrEP Evidence Summary—Safety and Toxicity RCTs ...........................................27
Table 6 PrEP Evidence Summary—HIV Resistance Findings RCTs ..................................28
Table 7 PrEP Evidence Summary—Open-Label Studies ...................................................29
Table 8 Clinical Signs and Symptoms of Acute (Primary) HIV Infection ...............................36
Table 9 Hepatitis Screening Serology ..............................................................................38
Table 10 Recommended Oral PrEP Medications ............................................................40
Table 11 PrEP Medication Drug Interactions ....................................................................40
Table 12 Rating Scheme for Recommendations ...........................................................54
Table 13 Criteria for Rating Quality of Scientific Evidence .............................................55
Figure Clinician Determination of HIV Status for PrEP Provision ...................................36
Box A Risk Behavior Assessments ..................................................................................30
   Box A1 Risk Behavior Assessment for MSM ...............................................................30
   Box A2 Risk Behavior Assessment for Heterosexually Active Men and Women ............31
   Box A3 Risk Behavior Assessment for Injection Drug Users ........................................33
Box B Recommended Indications for PrEP Use .............................................................33
   Box B1 Recommended Indications for PrEP Use by MSM ........................................33
   Box B2 Recommended Indications for PrEP Use by Heterosexually Active Men and Women 33
   Box B3 Indications for PrEP Use by Injection Drug Users ........................................34
Box C Cockcroft-Gault Formulas ....................................................................................38
Box D Key Components of Medication Adherence Counseling ......................................49
Box E Key Components of Behavioral Risk Reduction Counseling ..................................51
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTG</td>
<td>AIDS Clinical Trials Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHRQ</td>
<td>Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>acquired immunodeficiency syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD</td>
<td>bone mineral density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>common procedural terminology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEXA</td>
<td>dual-emission X-ray absorptiometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHAP</td>
<td>Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, CDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHHS</td>
<td>Department of Health and Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eCrCl</td>
<td>estimated creatinine clearance rate (ml/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIA</td>
<td>enzyme-linked immunoassay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>Food and Drug Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHI</td>
<td>Family Health International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTC</td>
<td>emtricitabine (trade name Emtriva)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEM</td>
<td>Guidelines Elements Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLIA</td>
<td>GuideLine Implementability Appraisal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRADE</td>
<td>Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>hepatitis B virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV</td>
<td>hepatitis C virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>human immunodeficiency virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRSA</td>
<td>Health Resources and Services Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDU</td>
<td>injection drug users (also called PWID)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFA</td>
<td>indirect immunofluorescence assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHS</td>
<td>Indian Health Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>interquartile range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSM</td>
<td>men who have sex with men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTN</td>
<td>Microbicide Trials Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHHSTP</td>
<td>National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC</td>
<td>National Guidelines Clearinghouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIAID</td>
<td>National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH</td>
<td>National Institutes of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nPEP</td>
<td>nonoccupational postexposure prophylaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAID</td>
<td>non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NQMC</td>
<td>National Quality Measures Clearinghouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHAP</td>
<td>Office of HIV/AIDS Policy, DHHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONAP</td>
<td>Office of National AIDS Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONDCP</td>
<td>Office of National Drug Control Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPA</td>
<td>Office of Population Affairs, DHHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP</td>
<td>postexposure prophylaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHS</td>
<td>(U.S.) Public Health Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWID</td>
<td>persons who inject drugs (also called IDU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrEP</td>
<td>preexposure prophylaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMHSA</td>
<td>Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD</td>
<td>sexually transmitted disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STI</td>
<td>sexually transmitted infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDF</td>
<td>tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (trade name Viread®)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAF</td>
<td>tenofovir alafenamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDM</td>
<td>therapeutic drug monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNAIDS</td>
<td>Joint United National Programme on HIV/AIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Veterans Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

*Preexposure Prophylaxis for HIV Prevention in the United States – 2017 Update: A Clinical Practice Guideline* provides comprehensive information for the use of daily oral antiretroviral preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) to reduce the risk of acquiring HIV infection in adults. The key messages of the guideline are as follows:

- Daily oral PrEP with the fixed-dose combination of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) 300 mg and emtricitabine (FTC) 200 mg has been shown to be safe and effective in reducing the risk of sexual HIV acquisition in adults; therefore,
  - PrEP is recommended as one prevention option for sexually-active adult MSM (men who have sex with men) at substantial risk of HIV acquisition (IA)\(^1\)
  - PrEP is recommended as one prevention option for adult heterosexually active men and women who are at substantial risk of HIV acquisition. (IA)
  - PrEP is recommended as one prevention option for adult persons who inject drugs (PWID) (also called injection drug users [IDU]) at substantial risk of HIV acquisition. (IA)
  - PrEP should be discussed with heterosexually-active women and men whose partners are known to have HIV infection (i.e., HIV-discordant couples) as one of several options to protect the uninfected partner during conception and pregnancy so that an informed decision can be made in awareness of what is known and unknown about benefits and risks of PrEP for mother and fetus (IIB)
- Currently the data on the efficacy and safety of PrEP for adolescents are insufficient. Therefore, the risks and benefits of PrEP for adolescents should be weighed carefully in the context of local laws and regulations about autonomy in health care decision-making by minors. (IIIB)
- Acute and chronic HIV infection must be excluded by symptom history and HIV testing immediately before PrEP is prescribed. (IA)
- The only medication regimen approved by the Food and Drug Administration and recommended for PrEP with all the populations specified in this guideline is daily TDF 300 mg co-formulated with FTC 200 mg (Truvada) (IA)
  - TDF alone has shown substantial efficacy and safety in trials with PWID and heterosexually active adults and can be considered as an alternative regimen for these populations, but not for MSM, among whom its efficacy has not been studied. (IC)
  - The use of other antiretroviral medications for PrEP, either in place of or in addition to TDF/FTC (or TDF) is not recommended. (IIIA)
  - The prescription of oral PrEP for coitally-timed or other noncontinuous daily use is not recommended. (IIIA)

---

\(^1\) See Appendix 1, Grading of Strength of Recommendations and Quality of Evidence (Tables 12-13)
- HIV infection should be assessed at least every 3 months while patients are taking PrEP so that those with incident infection do not continue taking it. The 2-drug regimen of TDF/FTC is inadequate therapy for established HIV infection, and its use may engender resistance to either or both drugs. (IA)

- Renal function should be assessed at baseline and monitored at least every 6 months while patients are taking PrEP so that those in whom renal failure is developing do not continue to take it. (IIIA)

- When PrEP is prescribed, clinicians should provide access, directly or by facilitated referral, to proven effective risk-reduction services. Because high medication adherence is critical to PrEP efficacy but was not uniformly achieved by trial participants, patients should be encouraged and enabled to use PrEP in combination with other effective prevention methods. (IIIA)
Table 1: Summary of Guidance for PrEP Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detecting substantial risk of acquiring HIV infection</th>
<th>Men Who Have Sex with Men</th>
<th>Heterosexual Women and Men</th>
<th>Persons Who Inject Drugs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV-positive sexual partner</td>
<td>HIV-positive sexual partner</td>
<td>HIV-positive injecting partner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent bacterial STI†</td>
<td>Recent bacterial STI‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High number of sex partners</td>
<td>High number of sex partners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of inconsistent or no condom use</td>
<td>History of inconsistent or no condom use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial sex work</td>
<td>Commercial sex work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In high HIV prevalence area or network</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically eligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documented negative HIV test result before prescribing PrEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No signs/symptoms of acute HIV infection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal renal function; no contraindicated medications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documented hepatitis B virus infection and vaccination status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescription</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily, continuing, oral doses of TDF/FTC (Truvada), ≤90-day supply</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up visits at least every 3 months to provide the following:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV test, medication adherence counseling, behavioral risk reduction support, side effect assessment, STI symptom assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 3 months and every 6 months thereafter, assess renal function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every 3-6 months, test for bacterial STIs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do oral/rectal STI testing</td>
<td>For women, assess pregnancy intent</td>
<td>Access to clean needles/syringes and drug treatment services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pregnancy test every 3 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STI: sexually transmitted infection

† Gonorrhea, chlamydia, syphilis for MSM including those who inject drugs
‡ Gonorrhea, syphilis for heterosexual women and men including those who inject drugs
Introduction

Recent findings from several clinical trials have demonstrated safety\(^1\) and a substantial reduction in the rate of HIV acquisition for men who have sex with men (MSM)\(^2\), men and women in heterosexual HIV-discordant couples\(^3\), and heterosexual men and women recruited as individuals\(^4\) who were prescribed daily oral antiretroviral preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) with a fixed-dose combination of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) and emtricitabine (FTC). In addition, one clinical trial among persons who injection drugs (PWID) (also called injection drug users [IDU])\(^5\) and one among men and women in heterosexual HIV-discordant couples\(^3\) have demonstrated substantial efficacy and safety of daily oral PrEP with TDF alone. The demonstrated efficacy of PrEP was in addition to the effects of repeated condom provision, sexual risk-reduction counseling, and the diagnosis and treatment of sexually transmitted infection (STI), all of which were provided to trial participants, including those in the drug treatment group and those in the placebo group. In July 2012, after reviewing the available trial results, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved an indication for the use of Truvada\(^6\) (TDF/FC) “in combination with safer sex practices for pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) to reduce the risk of sexually acquired HIV-1 in adults at high risk.”\(^6,7\)

On the basis of these trial results and the FDA approval, the U.S. Public Health Service recommends that clinicians evaluate their male and female patients who are sexually active or who are injecting illicit drugs and consider offering PrEP as one prevention option to those whose sexual or injection behaviors and epidemiologic context place them at substantial risk of acquiring HIV infection.

The evidence base for the 2014 recommendations were derived from a systematic search and review of published literature. To identify all PrEP safety and efficacy trials pertaining to the prevention of sexual and injection acquisition of HIV, a search of the clinical trials registry (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov) was performed by using combinations search terms (preexposure prophylaxis, pre-exposure prophylaxis, PrEP, HIV, Truvada, tenofovir, and antiretroviral). In addition, the same search terms were used to search conference abstracts for major HIV conferences (e.g., International AIDS Conference, Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections) for the years 2009-2013. These same search terms were used to search PubMed and Web of Science databases for the years 2006-2013. Finally, a review of references from published PrEP trial data and the data summary prepared by FDA for its approval decision\(^8\) confirmed that no additional trial results were available. For the 2017 update, the systematic review of published literature was updated through June 2017 and expanded to include the terms chemoprophylaxis and chemoprevention and searches of the MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL, and Cochrane Library database in addition to those used in 2014. The results of this systematic review were crosschecked for completeness with the review conducted by the World Health Organization.

---

\(^{\text{\textsection}}\) Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
For additional information about the systematic review process, see the Clinical Providers’ Supplement, Section 14 at https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/prep-cdc-hiv-prep-provider-supplement-2017.pdf

Potential conflicts of interest: CDC and individual employees involved in the guideline development process are named in US government patents and patent applications related to methods for HIV prophylaxis.

This publication provides a comprehensive clinical practice guideline for the use of PrEP for the prevention of HIV infection in the United States. It incorporates and extends information provided in interim guidance for PrEP use with MSM\textsuperscript{10}, with heterosexually active adults\textsuperscript{11}, and with PWID (also called IDU)\textsuperscript{12}. Currently, prescribing daily oral PrEP with TDF/FTC is recommended as one prevention option for MSM, heterosexual men, heterosexual women, and PWID at substantial risk of HIV acquisition. As the results of additional PrEP clinical trials and studies in these and other populations at risk of HIV acquisition become known, this guideline will be updated.

The intended users of this guideline include:
- primary care clinicians who provide care to persons at risk of acquiring HIV infection
- clinicians who provide substance abuse treatment
- infectious disease and HIV treatment specialists who may provide PrEP or serve as consultants to primary care physicians about the use of antiretroviral medications
- health program policymakers.

### Evidence of Need for Additional HIV Prevention Methods

Approximately 40,000 people in the United States are infected with HIV each year\textsuperscript{13}. From 2008 through 2014, estimated annual HIV incidence declined 18% overall but progress was uneven. Although declines occurred among heterosexuals, PWID, and white MSM, no decline was observed in the estimated number of annual HIV infections among black MSM and an increase was documented among Latino MSM\textsuperscript{13}. In 2015, 67% of the 39,513 newly diagnosed HIV infections were attributed to male-male sexual activity without injection drug use, 3% to male-male sexual activity with injection drug use, 24% to male-female sexual contact without injection drug use, and 6% to injection drug use. Among the 24% of persons with newly diagnosed HIV infection attributed to heterosexual activity, 64% were African-American women and men\textsuperscript{14}. These data indicate a need for additional methods of HIV prevention to further reduce new HIV infections, especially (but not exclusively) among young adult and adolescent MSM of all races and Hispanic/Latino ethnicity and for African American heterosexuals (populations with higher HIV prevalence and at higher risk of HIV infection among those without HIV infection).
Evidence of the Safety and Efficacy of Antiretroviral Prophylaxis

The biological plausibility and the short-term safety of antiretroviral use to prevent HIV acquisition in other exposure situations have been demonstrated in 2 studies conducted prior to the PrEP trials. In a randomized placebo-controlled trial, perinatal transmission was reduced 68% among the HIV-infected women who received zidovudine during pregnancy and labor and whose infants received zidovudine for 6 weeks after birth\(^\text{15}\). That is, these infants received both preexposure and postexposure prophylaxis. In 1995, investigators used case-control surveillance data from health-care workers to demonstrate that zidovudine provided within 72 hours after percutaneous exposure to HIV-infected blood and continued for 28 days (PEP, or postexposure prophylaxis) was associated with an 81% reduction in the risk of acquiring HIV infection\(^\text{16-18}\).

Evidence from these human studies of blood-borne and perinatal transmission as well as studies of vaginal and rectal exposure among animals suggested that PrEP (using antiretroviral drugs) could reduce the risk of acquiring HIV infection from sexual and drug-use exposures. Clinical trials were launched to evaluate the safety and efficacy of PrEP in populations at risk of HIV infection through several routes of exposure. The results of completed trials and open label or observational studies published as of June 2017 are summarized below. See also Tables 2-7. The quality of evidence in each study was assessed using GRADE criteria (http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/FAQ/evidence_qual.htm) and the strength of evidence for all studies relevant to a specific recommendation was assessed by the method used in the DHHS antiretroviral treatment guidelines (See Appendix 1).

Published Trials of Antiretroviral Preexposure Prophylaxis Among Men Who Have Sex with Men

iPrEx (Preexposure Prophylaxis Initiative) Trial

The iPrEx study\(^2\) was a phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial conducted in Peru, Ecuador, Brazil, Thailand, South Africa, and the United States among men and male-to-female transgender adults who reported sex with a man during the 6 months preceding enrollment. Participants were randomly assigned to receive a daily oral dose of either the fixed-dose combination of TDF and FTC or a placebo. All participants (drug and placebo groups) were seen every 4 weeks for an interview, HIV testing, counseling about risk-reduction and adherence to PrEP medication doses, pill count, and dispensing of pills and condoms. Analysis of data through May 1, 2010, revealed that after the exclusion of 58 participants (10 later determined to be HIV-infected at enrollment and 48 who did not have an HIV test after enrollment), 36 of 1,224 participants in the TDF/FTC group and 64 of 1,217 in the placebo group had acquired HIV infection. Enrollment in the TDF/FTC group was associated with a 44% reduction in the risk of HIV acquisition (95% CI, 15-63). The reduction was greater in the as-treated analysis: at the visits at which adherence was ≥50% (by self-report and pill count/dispensing), the reduction in HIV acquisition was 50% (95% CI, 18-70). The reduction in the risk of HIV acquisition was
73% at visits at which self-reported adherence was $\geq 90\%$ (95% CI, 41-88) during the preceding 30 days. Among participants randomly assigned to the TDF/FTC group, plasma and intracellular drug-level testing was performed for all those who acquired HIV infection during the trial and for a matched subset who remained HIV-uninfected: a 92% reduction in the risk of HIV acquisition (95% CI, 40-99) was found in participants with detectable levels of TDF/FTC versus those with no drug detected.

Generally, TDF/FTC was well tolerated, although nausea in the first month was more common among participants taking medication than among those taking placebo (9% versus 5%). No differences in severe (grade 3) or life-threatening (grade 4) adverse laboratory events were observed between the active and placebo group, and no drug-resistant virus was found in the 100 participants infected after enrollment. Among 10 participants who were HIV-negative at enrollment but later found to have been infected before enrollment, FTC-resistant virus was detected in 2 of 2 men in the active group and 1 of 8 men in the placebo group. Compared to participant reports at baseline, over the course of the study participants in both the TDF/FTC and placebo groups reported fewer total numbers of sex partners with whom the participants had receptive anal intercourse and higher percentages of partners who used condoms.

In the original iPrEx publication\(^2\), of 2,499 MSM, 29 identified as female (i.e., transgender women). In a subsequent subgroup analysis\(^19\), men were categorized as transgender women (n=339) if they were born male and either identified as women (n=29), identified as transgender (n=296), or identified as male and used feminizing hormones (n=14). Using this expanded definition, among transgender women, no efficacy of PrEP was demonstrated. There were 11 infections among the PrEP group and 10 in the placebo group (HR 1.1, 95% CI: 0.5-2.7). By drug level testing (always versus less than always), compared with MSM, transgender women had less consistent PrEP use OR 0.39 (95% CI: 0.16-0.96). In the subsequent open-label extension study (see below), one transgender woman seroconverted while receiving PrEP and one seroconversion occurred in a woman who elected not to use PrEP.

**US MSM Safety Trial**

The US MSM Safety Trial\(^1\) was a phase 2 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the clinical safety and behavioral effects of TDF for HIV prevention among 400 MSM in San Francisco, Boston, and Atlanta. Participants were randomly assigned 1:1:1:1 to receive daily oral TDF or placebo immediately or after a 9-month delay. Participants were seen for follow-up visits 1 month after enrollment and quarterly thereafter. Among those without directed drug interruptions, medication adherence was high: 92% by pill count and 77% by pill bottle openings recorded by Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS) caps. Temporary drug interruptions and the overall frequency of adverse events did not differ significantly between TDF and placebo groups. In multivariable analyses, back pain was the only adverse event associated with receipt of TDF. In a subset of men at the San Francisco site (n=184) for whom bone mineral density (BMD) was assessed, receipt of TDF was associated with small decrease in BMD (1% decrease
at the femoral neck, 0.8% decrease for total hip). TDF was not associated with reported bone fractures at any anatomical site. Among 7 seroconversions, no HIV with mutations associated with TDF resistance was detected. No HIV infections occurred while participants were being given TDF; 3 occurred in men while taking placebo, 3 occurred among men in the delayed TDF group who had not started receiving drug; 1 occurred in a man who had been randomly assigned to receive placebo and who was later determined to have had acute HIV infection at the enrollment visit.

**Adolescent Trials Network (ATN) 082**

ATN 082 was a randomized, blinded, pilot feasibility study comparing daily PrEP with TDF/FTC with and without a behavioral intervention (Many Men, Many Voices) to a third group with no pill and no behavioral intervention. Participants had study visits every 4 weeks with audio-computer assisted interviews (ACASI), blood draws, and risk-reduction counseling. The outcomes of interest were acceptability of study procedures, adherence to pill-taking, safety of TDF/FTC, and levels of sexual risk behaviors among a population of young (ages 18-22 years) MSM in Chicago. One hundred participants were to be followed for 24 weeks, but enrollment was stopped and the study was unblinded early when the iPrEx study published its efficacy result. Sixty-eight participants were enrolled. By drug level detection, adherence was modest at week 4 (62%), and declined to 20% by week 24. No HIV seroconversions were observed.

**Ipergay (Intervention Préventive de l’Exposition aux Risques avec et pour les Gays)**

The results of a randomized, blinded, trial of non-daily dosing of TDF/FTC or placebo for HIV preexposure prophylaxis has also been published and is included here for completeness, although non-daily dosing is not currently recommended by the FDA or CDC.

Four-hundred MSM in France and Canada were randomized to a complex peri-coital dosing regimen that involved taking 1) 2 pills (TDF/FTC or placebo) between 2 and 24 hours before sex, 2) 1 pill 24 hours after the first dose, 3) 1 pill 48 hours after the first dose, 4) continuing daily pills if sexual activity continues until 48 hours after the last sex. If more than a 1 week break occurred since the last pill, retreatment initiation was with 2 pills before sex or if less than a 1 week break occurred since the last pill, retreatment initiation was with 1 pill before sex. Each pre-sex dose was then followed by the 2 post-sex doses. Study visits were scheduled at 4 and 8 weeks after enrollment, and then every 8 weeks. At study visits, participants completed a computer-assisted interview, had blood drawn, received adherence and risk reduction counseling, received diagnosis and treatment of STIs as indicated, and had a pill count and a medication refill. Following an interim analysis by the data and safety monitoring board at which efficacy was determined, the placebo group was discontinued and all study participants were offered TDF/FTC. In the blinded phase of the trial, efficacy was 86% (95% CI: 40-98). By self-report,
patients took a median of 15 pills per month. By measured plasma drug levels in a subset of those randomized to TDF/FTC, 86% had TDF levels consistent with having taken the drug during the previous week.

Because of the high frequency of sex and therefore of pill-taking among those in this study population, it is not yet known whether the regimen will work if taken only a few hours or days before sex, without any buildup of the drug in rectal tissue from prior use. Studies suggest that it may take days, depending on the site of sexual exposure, for the active drug in PrEP to build up to an optimal level for preventing HIV infection. No data yet exist on how effective this regimen would be for heterosexual men and women, and persons who inject drugs, or on adherence to this relatively complex PrEP regimen outside a trial setting. IPERGAY findings, combined with other recent research, suggest that even with less than perfect daily adherence, PrEP may still offer substantial protection for MSM if taken consistently.

Daily oral PrEP with TDF/FTC is recommended as one HIV prevention option for sexually-active MSM at substantial risk of HIV acquisition because the iPrEx trial presents evidence of its safety and efficacy in this population, especially when medication adherence is high. (IA)

Published Observational and Open-Label Studies of Antiretroviral Preexposure Prophylaxis Among Men Who Have Sex with Men

iPrEx Open-Label Extension (OLE) Study
Persons previously enrolled in the iPrEx, ATN 082, and CDC safety PrEP clinical trials were enrolled in a 72 week open-label study and were offered PrEP free of charge. Seventy-six percent of 1,603 persons (1,428 MSM and 175 transgender women) enrolled received PrEP. HIV incidence among those receiving PrEP was 1.8 per 100 person-years (py) versus 2.6 per 100 py in those concurrently not choosing PrEP (HR 0.51, 95% CI: 0.26-1.01), adjusted for baseline sexual behaviors. Among those receiving PrEP, by dried blood spot drug levels, there were no infections in persons with drug levels associated with having taken 4 or more doses per week (p<0.0001) compared with those taking < 2 doses per week.

PROUD Open-Label Extension (OLE) Study
PROUD was an open-label, randomized, wait-list controlled trial designed for MSM attending sexual health clinics in England. A pilot was initiated to enroll 500 MSM, in which 275 men were randomized to receive daily oral TDF/FTC immediately, and 269 were deferred to start after 1 year. At an interim analysis, the data monitoring committee stopped the trial early for efficacy at an interim analysis and recommended that all deferred participants be offered PrEP. Follow-up was completed for 94% of those in the immediate PrEP arm and 90% of those in the deferred arm. PrEP efficacy was 86% (90% CI: 64-96).
KAISER PERMANENTE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY

An evaluation of a specialized PrEP program provided at the Kaiser Permanente San Francisco Medical Center reported on a cohort of 653 MSM, 3 heterosexual women, and 1 transgender man (with male sexual partners) who initiated PrEP between July 2012 and February 2015. Of these, 20 restarted PrEP after discontinuing it during the study period. The mean duration of use was 7.2 months. No HIV diagnoses were made during 388 py of follow-up on PrEP. No medication adherence measures were reported. After 12 months of use, 50% of PrEP users had received a diagnosis of one or more STI (95% CI: 26-35). In a recent report on PrEP patients seen at this center, as of February 2017, there were no HIV infections during 5104 py of PrEP use while they were being prescribed medication.

DEMO PROJECT OPEN-LABEL STUDY

In this demonstration project, conducted at 3 community-based clinics in the United States, MSM (n = 430) and transgender women (n=5) were offered daily oral TDF/FTC free of charge for 48 weeks. All patients received HIV testing, brief counseling, clinical monitoring, and STI diagnosis and treatment at quarterly follow-up visits. A subset of men underwent drug level monitoring with dried-blood spot testing and protective levels (associated with ≥4 doses per week) were high (80.0%-85.6%) at follow-up visits across the sites. STI incidence remained high but did not increase over time. Two men became infected (HIV incidence 0.43 infections per 100 py, 95% CI: 0.05-1.54), both of whom had drug levels consistent with having taken fewer than 2 doses per week at the visit when seroconversion was detected.

IPERGAY OPEN-LABEL EXTENSION (OLE) STUDY

Findings have been reported from the open-label phase of the Ipergay trial that enrolled 361 of the original trial participants. All of the open-label study participants were provided peri-coital PrEP as in the original trial. After a mean follow-up time of 18.4 months (IQR: 17.7-19.1), the HIV incidence observed was 0.19 per 100 py which, compared to the incidence in the placebo group of the original trial (6.60 per 100 py), represented a 97% (95% CI: 81-100) relative reduction in HIV incidence. The one participant who acquired HIV had not taken any PrEP in the 30 days before his reactive HIV test and was in an ongoing relationship with an HIV positive partner. Of 336 participants with plasma drug levels obtained at the 6-month visit, 71% had tenofovir detected. By self-report, PrEP was used at the prescribed dosing for the most recent sexual intercourse by 50% of participants, with suboptimal dosing by 24%, and not used by 26%. Reported condomless receptive anal sex at most recent sexual intercourse increased from 77% at baseline to 86% at the 18-month follow-up visit (p=0.0004). The incidence of a first bacterial STI in the observational study (59.0 per 100 py) was not higher than that seen in the randomized trial (49.1 per 100 py) (p=0.11).

The frequency of pill-taking in the open label study population was higher (median 18 pills per month) than that in the original trial (median 15 pills per month). Therefore it remains unclear
whether the regimen will be highly protective if taken only a few hours or days before sex, without any buildup of the drug from prior use.

### Published Trials of Antiretroviral Preexposure Prophylaxis Among Heterosexual Men and Women

#### Partners PrEP Trial

The Partners PrEP trial\(^3,29\) was a phase 3 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of daily oral TDF/FTC or TDF for the prevention of acquisition of HIV by the uninfected partner in 4,758 HIV-discordant heterosexual couples in Uganda and Kenya. The trial was stopped after an interim analysis in mid-2011 showed statistically significant efficacy in the medication groups (TDF/FTC or TDF) compared with the placebo group. In 48% of couples, the infected partner was male. HIV-positive partners had a median CD4 count of 495 cells/µL and were not being prescribed antiretroviral therapy because they were not eligible by local treatment guidelines. Participants had monthly follow-up visits and the study drug was discontinued among women who became pregnant during the trial.

Adherence to medication was very high: 98% by pills dispensed, 92% by pill count, and 82% by plasma drug-level testing among randomly selected participants in the TDF and TDF/FTC study groups. Rates of serious adverse events and serum creatinine or phosphorus abnormalities did not differ by study group. Modest increases in gastrointestinal symptoms and fatigue were reported in the antiretroviral medication groups compared with the placebo group, primarily in the first month of use. Among participants of both sexes combined, efficacy estimates for each of the 2 antiretroviral regimens compared with placebo were 67% (95% CI, 44–81) for TDF and 75% (95% CI, 55–87) for TDF/FTC. Among women, the estimated efficacy was 71% for TDF and 66% for TDF/FTC. Among men, the estimated efficacy was 63% for TDF and 84% for TDF/FTC. Efficacy estimates by drug regimen were not statistically different among men, women, men and women combined, or between men and women. In a Partners PrEP substudy that measured plasma TDF levels among participants randomly assigned to receive TDF/FTC, detectable drug was associated with a 90% reduction in the risk of HIV acquisition. TDF- or FTC-resistant virus was detected in 3 of 14 persons determined to have been infected when enrolled (2 of 5 in the TDF group; 1 of 3 in the TDF/FTC group)\(^8\). No TDF or FTC resistant virus was detected among those infected after enrollment. Among women, the pregnancy rate was high (10.3 per 100 py) and rates did not differ significantly between the study groups.

#### TDF2 Trial

The Botswana TDF2 Trial\(^4\), a phase 2 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the safety and efficacy of daily oral TDF/FTC, enrolled 1,219 heterosexual men and women in
Botswana, and follow-up has been completed. Participants were seen for monthly follow-up visits, and study drug was discontinued in women who became pregnant during the trial.

Among participants of both sexes combined, the efficacy of TDF/FTC was 62% (22%-83%). Efficacy estimates by sex did not statistically differ from each other or from the overall estimate, although the small number of endpoints in the subsets of men and women limited the statistical power to detect a difference. Compliance with study visits was low: 33.1% of participants did not complete the study per protocol. However, many were re-engaged for an exit visit, and 89.3% of enrolled participants had a final HIV test.

Among 3 participants later found to have been infected at enrollment, TDF/FTC-resistant virus was detected in 1 participant in the TDF/FTC group and a low level of TDF/FTC-resistant virus was transiently detected in 1 participant in the placebo group. No resistant virus was detected in the 33 participants who seroconverted after enrollment.

Medication adherence by pill count was 84% in both groups. Nausea, vomiting, and dizziness occurred more commonly, primarily during the first month of use, among those randomly assigned to TDF/FTC than among those assigned to placebo. The groups did not differ in rates of serious clinical or laboratory adverse events. Pregnancy rates and rates of fetal loss did not differ by study group.

**FEM-PrEP Trial**

The FEM-PrEP trial was a phase 3 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the HIV prevention efficacy and clinical safety of daily TDF/FTC among heterosexual women in South Africa, Kenya, and Tanzania. Participants were seen at monthly follow-up visits, and study drug was discontinued among women who became pregnant during the trial. The trial was stopped in 2011, when an interim analysis determined that the trial would be unlikely to detect a statistically significant difference in efficacy between the two study groups.

Adherence was low in this trial: study drug was detected in plasma samples of <50% of women randomly assigned to TDF/FTC. Among adverse events, only nausea and vomiting (in the first month) and transient, modest elevations in liver function test values were more common among those assigned to TDF/FTC than those assigned to placebo. No changes in renal function were seen in either group. Initial analyses of efficacy results showed 4.7 infections per 100/ person-years in the TDF/FTC group and 5.0 infections per 100 person-years in the placebo group. The hazard ratio 0.94 (95% CI, 0.59-1.52) indicated no reduction in HIV incidence associated with TDF/FTC use. Of the 68 women who acquired HIV infection during the trial, TDF or FTC resistant virus was detected in 5 women: 1 in the placebo group and 4 in the TDF/FTC group. In multivariate analyses, there was no association between pregnancy rate and study group.
Phase 2 Trial of Preexposure Prophylaxis with Tenofovir Among Women in Ghana, Cameroon, and Nigeria

A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of oral tenofovir TDF was conducted among heterosexual women in West Africa - Ghana (n = 200), Cameroon (n = 200), and Nigeria (n = 136). The study was designed to assess the safety of TDF use and the efficacy of daily TDF in reducing the rate of HIV infection. The Cameroon and Nigeria study sites were closed prematurely because operational obstacles developed, so participant follow-up data were insufficient for the planned efficacy analysis. Analysis of trial safety data from Ghana and Cameroon found no statistically significant differences in grade 3 or 4 hepatic or renal events or in reports of clinical adverse events. Eight HIV seroconversions occurred among women in the trial: 2 among women in the TDF group (rate=0.86 per 100 person-years) and 6 among women receiving placebo (rate, 2.48 per 100 person-years), yielding a rate ratio of 0.35 (95% CI, 0.03-1.93; \( p = 0.24 \)). Blood specimens were available from 1 of the 2 participants who seroconverted while taking TDF; standard genotypic analysis revealed no evidence of drug-resistance mutations.

VOICE (Vaginal and Oral Interventions to Control the Epidemic) Trial

VOICE (MTN-003) was a phase 2B randomized, double-blind study comparing oral (TDF or TDF/FTC) and topical vaginal (tenofovir) antiretroviral regimens against corresponding oral and topical placebos among 5,029 heterosexual women enrolled in eastern and southern Africa. Of these women, 3,019 were randomly assigned to daily oral medication (TDF/FTC, 1,003; TDF, 1,007; oral placebo, 1,009). In 2011, the trial group receiving oral TDF and the group receiving topical tenofovir were stopped after interim analyses determined futility. The group receiving oral TDF/FTC continued to the planned trial conclusion.

After the exclusion of 15 women later determined to have had acute HIV infection when enrolled in an oral medication group and 27 with no follow-up visit after baseline, 52 incident HIV infections occurred in the oral TDF group, 61 in the TDF/FTC group, and 60 in the oral placebo group. Effectiveness was not significant for either oral PrEP medication group; −49% for TDF (hazard ratio [HR] 1.49; 95% CI, 0.97-2.29) and −4.4% for TDF/FTC (HR, 1.04; 95% CI, 0.73-1.49) in the modified-intent-to-treat analysis.

Face-to-face interview, audio computer-assisted self-interview, and pill-count medication adherence were high in all 3 groups (84%-91%). However, among 315 participants in the random cohort of the case-cohort subset for whom quarterly plasma samples were available, tenofovir was detected, on average, in 30% of samples from women randomly assigned to TDF and in 29% of samples from women randomly assigned to TDF/FTC. No drug was detected at any quarterly visit during study participation for 58% of women in the TDF group and 50% of women in the TDF/FTC group. The percentage of samples with detectable drug was less than 40% in all study drug groups and declined throughout the study. In a multivariate analysis that
adjusted for baseline confounding variables (including age, marital status), the detection of study
drug was not associated with reduced risk of HIV acquisition.

The number of confirmed creatinine elevations (grade not specified) observed was higher in the
oral TDF/FTC group than in the oral placebo group. However, there were no significant
differences between active product and placebo groups for other safety outcomes. Of women
determined after enrollment to have had acute HIV infection at baseline, two women from the
TDF/FTC group had virus with the M184I/V mutation associated with FTC resistance. One
woman in the TDF/FTC group who acquired HIV infection after enrollment had virus with the
M184I/V mutation; No participants with HIV infection had virus with a mutation associated with
tenofovir resistance.

In summary, although low adherence and operational issues precluded reliable conclusions
regarding efficacy in 3 trials (VOICE, FEM-PrEP and the West African trial)33, 2 trials (Partners
PrEP and TDF2) with high medication adherence have provided substantial evidence of efficacy
among heterosexual men and women. All 5 trials have found PrEP to be safe for these
populations.

Daily oral PrEP with TDF/FTC is recommended as one HIV prevention option for
heterosexually-active men and women at substantial risk of HIV acquisition because these trials
present evidence of its safety and 2 present evidence of efficacy in these populations, especially
when medication adherence is high. (IA).

PUBLISHED TRIAL OF ANTIRETROVIRAL PREEXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS AMONG
PERSONS WHO INJECT DRUGS

BANGKOK TENOFOVIR STUDY (BTS)

BTS6 was a phase 3 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the safety and
efficacy of daily oral TDF for HIV prevention among 2,413 PWID (also called IDU) in
Bangkok, Thailand6 The study was conducted at drug treatment clinics; 22% of participants were
receiving methadone treatment at baseline. At each monthly visit, participants could choose to
receive either a 28-day supply of pills or to receive medication daily by directly- observed
therapy. Study clinics (n=17) provided condoms, bleach (for cleaning injection equipment),
methadone, primary medical care, and social services free of charge. Participants were followed
for 4.6 years (mean) and received directly- observed therapy 87% of the time.

In the modified intent- to-treat analysis (excluding 2 participants with evidence of HIV infection
at enrollment), efficacy of TDF was 48.9% (95% CI, 9.6-72.2; \( P = .01 \)). A post-hoc modified
intent-to-treat analysis was done, removing 2 additional participants in whom HIV infection was
identified within 28 days of enrollment, including only participants on directly observed therapy
who met pre-established criteria for high adherence (taking a pill at least 71% of days and
missing no more than two consecutive doses), and had detectable levels of tenofovir in their blood. Among this set of participants, the efficacy of TDF in plasma was associated with a 73.5% reduction in the risk for HIV acquisition (95% CI, 16.6-94.0; \( P = .03 \)). Among participants in an unmatched case-control study that included the 50 persons with incident HIV infection and 282 participants at 4 clinics who remained HIV uninfected, detection of TDF in plasma was associated with a 70.0% reduction in the risk for acquiring HIV infection (95% CI, 2.3-90.6; \( P = .04 \)).

Rates of nausea and vomiting were higher among TDF than among placebo recipients in the first 2 months of medication but not thereafter. The rates of adverse events, deaths, or elevated creatinine did not differ significantly between the TDF and the placebo groups. Among the 49 HIV infections for which viral RNA could be amplified (of 50 incident infections and 2 infections later determined to have been present at enrollment), no virus with mutations associated with TDF resistance were identified.

Among participants with HIV infection followed up for a maximum of 24 months, HIV plasma viral load was lower in the TDF than in the placebo group at the visit when HIV infection was detected \( (P = .01) \), but not thereafter \( (P = .10) \).

**Published Open-Label Study of Antiretroviral Preexposure Prophylaxis Among Person Who Inject Drugs**

**Bangkok Tenofovir Study (BTS) Open-Label Extension (OLE) Study**

All 1315 participants in the randomized trial (BTS) who were HIV-negative and had no renal contraindication were offered daily oral TDF for 1 year in an open label extension study\(^3^4\). Sixty-one percent (n=798) elected to take PrEP. Participants who were older \( (\geq 30 \text{ years}, p<0.0001) \), injected heroin \( (p=0.007) \) or had been in prison \( (p=0.0007) \) were more likely to start PrEP than those without these characteristics. Twenty-eight percent (n=220) did not return for any follow-up visits. Those who had injected heroin \( (p=0.01) \) or had been in prison \( (p=0.0007) \) during the 3 months before the open label study returned for a follow-up visit. Overall, by diary, adherence was lower in the open label study (38.5 % of days) than in the randomized clinical trial (83.8% of days). Those who injected midazolam \( (p=0.02) \) or were in prison \( (p<0.0001) \) during the open label study were more likely to be more than 90% adherent than those without these characteristics. During a median 335 days of follow-up, one HIV infection occurred in a participant who reported not taking any doses during the 60 days before the positive test, yielding an HIV incidence of 2.1 per 1000 py \( (95\% \text{ CI: 0.05-11.7}) \). Among the 339 (42%) who completed a 12-month follow-up visit, injection and needle sharing did not increase during the open-label study.
Daily oral PrEP with TDF/FTC (or TDF alone) is recommended as one HIV prevention option for PWID at substantial risk of HIV acquisition because this trial presents evidence of the safety and efficacy of TDF as PrEP in this population, especially when medication adherence is high. (IA)
Table 2: Evidence Summary — Overall Evidence Quality of Randomized Clinical Trials (per GRADE Criteria35)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
<th>Quality of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among Men Who have Sex with Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPrEx Trial</td>
<td>Phase 3</td>
<td>TDF/FTC (n = 1251) Placebo (n = 1248)</td>
<td>Adherence</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US MSM Safety Trial</td>
<td>Phase 2</td>
<td>TDF (n = 201) Placebo (n = 199)</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATN 082</td>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td>TDF/FTC (n=20) Placebo (n=19) No pill (n=19)</td>
<td>Small size, stopped early, limited follow-up time, low medication adherence</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among Heterosexual Men and Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners PrEP</td>
<td>Phase 3</td>
<td>TDF (n = 1589) TDF/FTC (n = 1583) Placebo (n = 1586)</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDF2</td>
<td>Phase 2</td>
<td>TDF/FTC (n = 611) Placebo (n = 608)</td>
<td>High loss to follow-up; modest sample size</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among Heterosexual Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEM-PrEP</td>
<td>Phase 3</td>
<td>TDF/FTC (n = 1062) Placebo (n = 1058)</td>
<td>Stopped at interim analysis, limited follow-up time; very low adherence to drug regimen</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West African Trial</td>
<td>Phase 2</td>
<td>TDF (n = 469) Placebo (n = 467)</td>
<td>Stopped early for operational concerns; small sample size; limited follow-up time on assigned drug</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOICE</td>
<td>Phase 2B</td>
<td>TDF (n = 1007) TDF/FTC (n = 1003) Placebo (n = 1009)</td>
<td>TDF arm stopped at interim analysis (futility); very low adherence to drug regimen in both TDF and TDF/FTC arms</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among Injection Drug Users</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTS</td>
<td>Phase 3</td>
<td>TDF (n = 1204) Placebo (n = 1207)</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: GRADE quality ratings:
- high = further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect;
- moderate = further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate;
- low = further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate;
- very low = any estimate of effect is very uncertain.

35 All trials in this table were randomized, double-blind, prospective clinical trials
Table 3: Evidence Summary of Randomized Clinical Trials — HIV Incidence Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Outcome Analyses— HIV incidence (mITT)</th>
<th>Effect — HR [Efficacy Estimate] (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agent</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPrEx (MSM)</td>
<td>36 infections among 1224 persons</td>
<td>64 infections among 1217 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US MSM Safety Trial</td>
<td>3 infections among 201 persons</td>
<td>4 infections among 199 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(all 3 in delayed arm, not on TDF)</td>
<td>(1 acute infection at enrollment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners PrEP (heterosexual men and women)</td>
<td>TDF 17 infections among 1572 persons</td>
<td>52 infections among 1568 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDF/FTC 13 infections among 1568 persons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDF2 (heterosexual men and women)</td>
<td>9 infections among 601 persons</td>
<td>24 infections among 599 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 infections/100 person-years</td>
<td>3.1 infections per 100 person-years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEM-PrEP (heterosexual women)</td>
<td>33 infections among 1024 persons</td>
<td>35 infections among 1032 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.7 infections per 100 person-years</td>
<td>5.0 infections per 100 person-years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West African Trial (heterosexual women)</td>
<td>2 infections among 427 persons</td>
<td>6 infections among 432 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.86 infections per 100 person-years</td>
<td>2.48 infections per 100 person-years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOICE (heterosexual women)</td>
<td>TDF 52 infections among 993 persons</td>
<td>35 infections among 999 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.3 infections per 100 person-years</td>
<td>4.2 infections per 100 person-years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDF/FTC 61 infections among 985 persons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.7 infections per 100 person-years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTS (persons who inject drugs)</td>
<td>17 infections among 1204 persons</td>
<td>33 infections among 1207 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.35 infections per 100 person-years</td>
<td>0.68 infections per 100 person-years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mITT: modified intent to treat analysis; HR: hazard ratio.

* Not statistically significant.
Table 4: Measures of Efficacy, by Medication Adherence, Percentage Reduction in HIV Incidence in Randomized Clinical Trials (95% Confidence Interval)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Modified Intent-to-Treat Efficacy</th>
<th>Efficacy by Self-report Adherence Measures</th>
<th>Efficacy by Pill-count Adherence Measures</th>
<th>Efficacy by Blood Detection of Drug Measures&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iPrEx (TDF/FTC)</td>
<td>44% (15–63%)</td>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
<td>50% (18–70%)</td>
<td>92% (40–99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners PrEP</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>73% (41–88%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>100% (87–100%)</td>
<td>TDF: 86% (67–94%) TDF/FTC: 90% (58–98%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDF: 67%</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDF/FTC: 75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDF: 63%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDF/FTC: 84%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDF: 71%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDF/FTC: 66%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDF2 (TDF/FTC)</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDF: 80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDF/FTC: 93%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>49%&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDF: 71%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TDF/FTC: 66%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEM-PrEP (TDF/FTC)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOICE (TDF,TDF/FTC)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTS (TDF)</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>56% (-19 to 86%)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>74% (17–94%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
 NR, not reported.

<sup>a</sup> Tenofovir detection assays were done in subsets of persons randomly assigned to receive TDF or TDF/FTC
<sup>b</sup> Finding not statistically significant
<sup>c</sup> Among participants on directly observed therapy
### Table 5: Evidence Summary of Randomized Clinical Trials — Safety and Toxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Outcome Analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3/4 Adverse Clinical Events&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPrEx</td>
<td>52 events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATN 082</td>
<td>1 event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDF2</td>
<td>9 events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West African Trial</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3/4 Adverse Laboratory Events&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPrEx</td>
<td>59 events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATN 082</td>
<td>3 events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDF2</td>
<td>32 events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West African Trial</td>
<td>1 event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3/4 Adverse Events (Clinical and Laboratory)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners PrEP</td>
<td>TDF: 323 events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEM-PrEP</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US MSM Safety Trial</td>
<td>36 events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOICE</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTS</td>
<td>175 events</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR, not reported.

<sup>a</sup> RDBPCT = randomized, double-blind, prospective clinical trial
Table 6: Evidence Summary of Randomized Clinical Trials — HIV Resistance Findings (TDF or FTC Drug Resistant Virus Detected)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Outcome Analyses</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iPrEx</td>
<td>2 resistant viruses among 2 persons infected at baseline</td>
<td>1 resistant virus among 8 persons infected at baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 resistant viruses among 36 persons infected after baseline</td>
<td>0 resistant viruses among 64 persons infected after baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US MSM Safety Trial</td>
<td>0 resistant viruses among 3 persons infected after baseline (in delayed arm before starting drug)</td>
<td>1 resistant virus among 1 person infected at baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 resistant viruses among 6 persons infected after baseline</td>
<td>0 resistant viruses among 3 persons infected after baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners PrEP</td>
<td>2 resistant viruses among 5 persons infected at baseline and randomly assigned to TDF</td>
<td>0 resistant viruses among 6 persons infected at baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 resistant virus among 3 persons infected at baseline and randomly assigned to TDF/FTC</td>
<td>0 resistant viruses among 51 persons infected after baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 resistant viruses among 27 persons infected after baseline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDF2</td>
<td>1 resistant virus in 1 person infected at baseline</td>
<td>1 resistant virus in 1 person infected at baseline (very low frequency and transient detection)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 resistant viruses among 9 persons infected after baseline</td>
<td>0 resistant viruses among 24 persons infected after baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEM-PrEP</td>
<td>4 resistant viruses among 33 persons infected after baseline</td>
<td>1 resistant virus in 35 persons infected after baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West African Trial</td>
<td>0 resistant viruses among 2 persons infected while on TDF</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOICE</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTS</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 resistant viruses among 49 persons infected after baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR, not reported.
### Table 7. Evidence Summary of Open-Label Studies (daily oral TDF/FTC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROUD</td>
<td>Wait-list Control</td>
<td>MSM</td>
<td>[86%] [90% CI: 64%-96%] comparing immediate vs. deferred group</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>• 2 resistant viruses among 3 persons infected at baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 0 resistant viruses among 23 persons infected after baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPrEx OLE&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>RCT Open-Label Extension</td>
<td>MSM</td>
<td>0.51 [49%] (95% CI: 0.26-1.01) comparing those electing to use PrEP with those who did not, adjusted for baseline sexual risk behavior</td>
<td>Compared with being off PrEP, HRs for seroconversion stratified by weekly dosing inferred from blood drug levels: &lt;2 doses/week 0.56 [44%] (0.23-1.31) 2-3 doses/week 0.16 [84%] (0.01-0.79) 4-6 doses/week 0.0 [100%] (0.0-0.21) 7 doses/week 0.0 [100%] (0.0-0.43)</td>
<td>• 0 resistant viruses among 2 persons infected at baseline (not started on PrEP) • 1 resistant virus among 28 persons infected after baseline started on PrEP • 0 resistant viruses among 13 persons infected after baseline not started on PrEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demo Project</td>
<td>Clinical Cohort</td>
<td>MSM&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>HIV incidence 0.43 per 100 py (no comparison group) in a population with an STI incidence of 90 per 100 py observed during follow-up.&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Both seroconverters had blood drug levels associated with &lt;2 doses/week</td>
<td>• 1 resistant virus among 3 persons infected at enrollment and started on PrEP • 0 resistant viruses among 2 persons infected after baseline started on PrEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiser Permanente</td>
<td>Clinical Cohort</td>
<td>MSM</td>
<td>0 HIV diagnoses in 5104 py of follow-up</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> included men who had participated in the iPrEx, CDC Safety, and Adolescent Trials Network 082 PrEP trials

<sup>b</sup> 653 MSM, 3 heterosexual women, 1 transgender man who has sex with men
Identifying Indications for PrEP

Taking a sexual history is recommended for all adult and adolescent patients as part of ongoing primary care, but the sexual history is often deferred because of urgent care issues, provider discomfort, or anticipated patient discomfort. This deferral is common among providers of primary care, STI care, and HIV care.

Routinely taking a sexual history is a necessary first step to identify which patients in a clinical practice are having sex with same-sex partners, which are having sex with opposite-sex partners, and what specific sexual behaviors may place them at risk for, or protect them from, HIV acquisition. To identify the sexual health needs of all their patients, clinicians should not limit sexual history assessments to only selected patients (e.g., young, unmarried persons or women seeking contraception), because new HIV infections and STIs are occurring in all adult and adolescent age groups, both sexes, and both married and unmarried persons. The clinician can introduce this topic by stating that taking a brief sexual history is routine practice, go on to explain that the information is necessary to the provision of individually appropriate sexual health care, and close by reaffirming the confidentiality of patient information.

Transgender persons are those whose sex at birth differs from their self-identified gender. Although the effectiveness of PrEP for transgender women has not yet been definitively proven in trials, and trials have not been conducted among transgender men, PrEP has been shown to reduce the risk for HIV acquisition during anal sex and penile-vaginal sex. Therefore, its use may be considered in all persons at risk of acquiring HIV sexually.

ASSESSING RISK OF SEXUAL HIV ACQUISITION

Because offering PrEP is currently indicated for MSM at substantial risk of HIV acquisition, it is important to consider that although 76% of MSM surveyed in 2008 in 21 US cities reported a health care visit during the past year, other studies reported that health care providers do not ask about, and patients often do not disclose, same-sex behaviors. Box A1 contains a set of brief questions designed to identify men who are currently having sex with men and to assess a key set of sexual practices that are associated with the risk of HIV acquisition. In studies to develop scored risk indexes predictive of incident HIV infection among MSM (see Clinical Providers’ Supplement, Section 6), several critical factors were identified.
**Box A1: Risk Behavior Assessment for MSM**

**In the past 6 months:**

- Have you had sex with men, women, or both?
- *If men or both sexes* How many men have you had sex with?
- How many times did you have receptive anal sex (you were the bottom) with a man who was not wearing a condom?
- How many of your male sex partners were HIV-positive?
- *If any positive* With these HIV-positive male partners, how many times did you have insertive anal sex (you were the top) without you wearing a condom?
- Have you used methamphetamines (such as crystal or speed)?

Box A2 contains a set of brief questions designed to identify women and men who are currently having sex with opposite-sex partners (heterosexually active) and to assess a key set of sexual practices that are associated with the risk of HIV acquisition as identified both in PrEP trials and epidemiologic studies.

**Box A2: Risk Behavior Assessment for Heterosexual Men and Women**

**In the past 6 months:**

- Have you had sex with men, women, or both?
- *If opposite sex or both sexes* How many men/women have you had sex with?
- How many times did you have vaginal or anal sex when neither you nor your partner wore a condom?
- How many of your sex partners were HIV-positive?
- *If any positive* With these HIV-positive partners, how many times did you have vaginal or anal sex without a condom?

In addition, for all sexually active patients, clinicians may want to consider reports of diagnoses of bacterial STIs (chlamydia, syphilis, gonorrhea) during the past 6 months as evidence of sexual activity that could result in HIV exposure. For heterosexual women and men, sex without a condom (or its correct use) may also be indicated by recent pregnancy of a female patient or sexual partner of a male patient.

Clinicians should also briefly screen all patients for alcohol abuse (especially before sexual activity) and the use of illicit non-injection drugs (e.g., amyl nitrite, stimulants). The use of these substances may affect sexual risk behavior, hepatic or renal health, or medication adherence, any of which may affect decisions about the appropriateness of prescribing PrEP medication. In addition, if substance abuse is reported, the clinician should provide referral for appropriate treatment or harm-reduction services acceptable to the patient.

Lastly, clinicians should consider the epidemiologic context of the sexual practices reported by the patient. The risk of HIV acquisition is determined by both the frequency of specific sexual practices and...
(e.g., unprotected anal intercourse) and the likelihood that a sex partner has HIV infection. The same behaviors when reported as occurring in communities and demographic populations with high HIV prevalence or occurring with partners known to have HIV infection, are more likely to result in exposure to HIV and so will indicate greater need for intensive risk-reduction methods (PrEP, multisession behavioral counseling) than when they occur in a community or population with low HIV prevalence (see http://www.AIDSvu.org or http://www.cdc.gov/nchhstp/atlas/).

After assessing the risk of HIV acquisition, clinicians should discuss with the patient which of several effective prevention methods (e.g., PrEP, behavioral interventions) will be pursued (see CDC HIV risk reduction tool at https://wwwn.cdc.gov/hivrisk/estimator.html#). When supporting consistent and correct condom use is feasible and the patient is motivated to achieve it, high levels of protection against both HIV and several STIs are afforded without the side effects or cost of medication. A clinician can support consistent condom use by providing brief clinical counseling (see Clinical Providers’ Supplement, Section 11), by referring the patient to behavioral medicine or health education staff in the clinical setting, or by referring the patient to community-based or local health department counseling and support services.

Reported consistent (“always”) condom use is associated with an 80% reduction in HIV acquisition among heterosexual couples and 70% among MSM. However, inconsistent condom use is less effective, and studies have reported low rates of recent consistent condom use among MSM and other sexually active adults. Especially low rates have been reported when condom use was measured over several months rather than during most recent sex or the past 30 days. Therefore, unless the patient reports confidence that consistent condom use can be achieved, additional HIV prevention methods, including the consideration of PrEP should be provided while continuing to support condom.

A patient who reports that 1 or more regular sex partners is of unknown HIV status should be offered HIV testing for those partners, either in the clinician’s practice or at a confidential testing site (see zip code lookup at http://www.hivtest.org/).

Lastly, for any regular sex partner reported to be HIV-positive, clinician should determine whether the HIV-negative patient knows if the HIV-positive partner is receiving antiretroviral therapy and whether a recent evaluation indicates an undetectable viral load. In addition to the known health benefits of viral load suppression by antiretroviral therapy, a recent clinical trial (HPTN 052) demonstrated that antiretroviral therapy also substantially protects against HIV transmission to a heterosexual partner. Among 1,753 HIV discordant couples where the infected partner was treated, transmission risk was reduced 93%. All documented infections where viral genetic linkage was confirmed occurred in the context of an unsuppressed viral load in the partner initially infected with HIV. Another study included 548 HET and 340 MSM HIV-discordant couples where the partner with HIV infection was virally suppressed with antiretroviral treatment. This study observed no HIV transmissions to the uninfected partner despite approximately 58,000 reported episodes of condomless vaginal or anal intercourse during 1,200 couple/years of observation substantial protection (100%). However, some persons who know they have HIV infection may not be in care, may not be receiving antiretroviral
therapy, may not be receiving highly effective regimens, may not be adherent to their medications, or for other reasons may not have viral loads that are associated with the least risk of transmission to an uninfected sex partner. In addition, clinicians providing care to the HIV-negative patient may not have access to the medical records of the HIV-positive partner to document their recent viral load status and over time.

**Box B1: Recommended Indications for PrEP Use by MSM**

- Adult man
- Without acute or established HIV infection
- Any male sex partners in past 6 months (if also has sex with women, see Box B2)
- Not in a monogamous partnership with a recently tested, HIV-negative man

AND at least one of the following

- Any anal sex without condoms (receptive or insertive) in past 6 months
- A bacterial STI (syphilis, gonorrhea, or chlamydia) diagnosed or reported in past 6 months

**Box B2: Recommended Indications for PrEP Use by Heterosexually Active Men and Women**

- Adult person
- Without acute or established HIV infection
- Any sex with opposite sex partners in past 6 months
- Not in a monogamous partnership with a recently tested HIV-negative partner

AND at least one of the following

- Is a man who has sex with both women and men (behaviorally bisexual) [also evaluate indications for PrEP use by Box B1 criteria]
- Infrequently uses condoms during sex with 1 or more partners of unknown HIV status who are known to be at substantial risk of HIV infection (PWID or bisexual male partner)
- Is in an ongoing sexual relationship with an HIV-positive partner
- A bacterial STI (syphilis, gonorrhea in women or men) diagnosed or reported in past 6 months
ASSESSING RISK OF HIV ACQUISITION THROUGH INJECTION PRACTICES

Although the annual number of new HIV infections among PWID in the United States has declined, a sizable number occur each year. In 2010, PWID accounted for 8% of estimated incident HIV infections. According to the National HIV Behavioral Surveillance System (NHBS), substantial proportions of PWID report sharing syringes (34%) and sharing injection equipment (58%). In addition, in NHBS and epidemiologic studies conducted with PWID, most PWID report sexual behaviors that also confer risk of HIV acquisition. Because of the efficacy and safety demonstrated in the PrEP trial with PWID, providing PrEP to those who report injection behaviors that place them at substantial risk of acquiring HIV infection could contribute to HIV prevention for PWID at both the individual and the population level.

Although current evidence is insufficient for a recommendation that all patients be screened for injection or other illicit drug use, the US Preventive Services Task Force recommends that clinicians be alert to the signs and symptoms of illicit drug use in patients. Clinicians should determine whether patients who are currently using illicit drugs are in (or want to enter) behavioral, medication-assisted, or in-patient drug treatment. For persons with a history of injecting illicit drugs but who are currently not injecting, clinicians should assess the risk of relapse along with the patients’ use of relapse prevention services (e.g., a drug-related behavioral support program, use of mental health services, 12-step program).

Box A3 contains a set of brief questions that may help identify persons who are injecting illicit drugs, and to assess a key set of injection practices that are associated with the risk of HIV acquisition as identified in the PrEP trial with PWID and in epidemiologic studies (for a scored risk index predictive of incident HIV infection among PWID, see the Clinical Providers’ Supplement, Section 7).

**Box A3: Risk Behavior Assessment for Persons WhoInject Drugs**

- Have you ever injected drugs that were not prescribed to you by a clinician?
- *(if yes)*, When did you last inject unprescribed drugs?
- In the past 6 months, have you injected by using needles, syringes, or other drug preparation equipment that had already been used by another person?
- In the past 6 months, have you been in a methadone or other medication-based drug treatment program?
**Box B3: Recommended Indications for PrEP Use by Persons Who Inject Drugs**

- Adult person
- Without acute or established HIV infection
- Any injection of drugs not prescribed by a clinician in past 6 months

AND at least one of the following

- Any sharing of injection or drug preparation equipment in past 6 months
- Risk of sexual acquisition (also evaluate by criteria in Box B1 or B2)

PrEP or other HIV prevention should be integrated with prevention and clinical care services for the many health threats PWID may face (e.g., hepatitis B and C infection, abscesses, septicemia, endocarditis, overdose)\(^69\). In addition, referrals for drug treatment, mental health services, and social services may be indicated.

**Laboratory Tests and Other Diagnostic Procedures**

All patients whose sexual or drug injection history indicates consideration of PrEP and who are interested in taking PrEP must undergo laboratory testing to identify those for whom this intervention would be harmful or for whom it would present specific health risks that would require close monitoring.

**HIV Testing**

HIV testing and the documentation of results are required to confirm that patients do not have HIV infection when they start taking PrEP medications. For patient safety, HIV testing and should be repeated at least every 3 months (before prescriptions are refilled or reissued). This requirement should be explained to patients during the discussion about whether PrEP is appropriate for them.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the US Preventive Services Task Force recommends that MSM, PWID, patients with a sex partner who has HIV infection, and others at substantial risk of HIV acquisition undergo an HIV test at least annually or for those with additional risk factors, every 3-6 months\(^70,71\). However, outside the context of PrEP delivery, testing is often not done as frequently as recommended\(^72\).

Clinicians should document a negative antibody test result within the week before initiating (or reinitiating) PrEP medications, ideally with an antigen/antibody test conducted by a laboratory. The required HIV testing can be accomplished by (1) drawing blood (serum) and sending the specimen to a laboratory for an antigen/antibody test or and antibody-only test or (2) performing a rapid, point-of-care, FDA-approved, fingerstick blood test. Rapid tests that use oral fluid should not be used to screen for HIV infection when considering PrEP use because they can be less sensitive than blood tests\(^73\). Clinicians should not accept patient-reported test results or documented anonymous test results. A
preliminary positive HIV antibody test must be confirmed according to the local laboratory standard practice and viral load and CD4 lymphocyte tests should be ordered to assist in future treatment decisions. See [http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/testing/laboratorytests.html](http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/testing/laboratorytests.html) for FDA-approved HIV tests, specimen requirements, and time to detection of HIV infection.

**ACUTE HIV INFECTION**

In the iPrEx trial, drug-resistant virus developed in 2 persons with unrecognized acute HIV infection at enrollment and for whom TDF/FTC had been dispensed. These participants had negative antibody test results before they started taking PrEP, tested positive at a later study visit, and PCR (polymerase chain reaction) on stored specimens from the initial visit detected the presence of virus. When questioned, most of the 10 acutely infected participants (8 of whom had been randomly assigned the placebo group) reported signs and symptoms consistent with a viral syndrome. Both acutely infected patients to whom TDF/FTC had been dispensed had the M184V/I mutation associated with emtricitabine resistance, but not the K65R mutation associated with tenofovir resistance. Among participants who were dispensed PrEP medication in the US MSM Safety Trial and in the Partners PrEP, TDF2, and VOICE trials (see Table 6), the M184V mutation, developed in several persons who were enrolled and had started taking medication with unrecognized acute HIV infection but K65R developed in only one (in the TDF2 study). However, no mutations emerged in persons who acquired infection after baseline. In the one trial with very low medication adherence that has published its resistance testing results, the emtricitabine resistance mutation, but not the K65R mutation was found in a few persons with incident infection after baseline (4 persons in the FEM-PrEP trial).

PrEP is indicated for MSM, heterosexual men and women, and PWID who report injection or sexual behaviors that place them at substantial risk of HIV acquisition. Therefore clinicians should suspect acute HIV infection in persons known to have been exposed recently (e.g., a condom broke during sex with an HIV-infected partner, relapse to injection drug use with shared injection equipment). In addition, clinicians should solicit a history of nonspecific signs or symptoms of viral infection during the preceding month or on the day of evaluation (see Table 8) in all PrEP candidates with a negative or an indeterminate result on an HIV antigen/antibody or antibody-only test.
Table 8: Clinical Signs and Symptoms of Acute (Primary) HIV Infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Overall (n = 375)%</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Route of transmission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male (n = 355)%</td>
<td>Female (n = 23)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin rash</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharyngitis</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical adenopathy</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthralgia</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night sweats</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The figure below illustrates the recommended clinical testing algorithm to establish HIV infection status before the initiation of PrEP or its re-initiation after more than a week off PrEP medication. Laboratory antigen/antibody tests (option 1) are preferred because they have the highest sensitivity for detecting acute HIV infection which is associated with high viral loads. While viral load testing is sensitive (option 2), healthcare providers should be aware that available assays might yield false-positive low viral load results (e.g., <3,000 copies/mL) among persons without HIV infection. Without confirmatory tests, such false-positive results can lead to misdiagnosis of HIV infection. Repeat antibody testing (option 3) is least preferred because it delays determination of true HIV status and uninfected patients may have additional exposures and become infected without PrEP while waiting to retest. When clinicians prescribe PrEP based solely on the results of antibody-only or rapid tests, ordering a laboratory antigen/antibody test at the time baseline labs are drawn is recommended. This will increase the likelihood of detecting unrecognized acute infection so that PrEP can be stopped and the patient started on antiretroviral treatment in a timely manner.
RENAL FUNCTION

In addition to confirming that any person starting PrEP medication is not infected with HIV, a clinician should determine renal function and test for infection with hepatitis B virus (HBV) because both decreased renal function and active HBV infection are potential safety issues for the use of TDF/FTC as PrEP.

TDF is widely used in combination antiretroviral regimens for the treatment of HIV infection. Among HIV-infected persons prescribed TDF-containing regimens, decreases in renal function (as measured by estimated creatinine clearance [eCrCl]) have been documented, and occasional cases of acute renal failure, including Fanconi’s syndrome, have occurred.

In the PrEP trials among otherwise healthy, HIV-uninfected adults, an eCrCl of ≥60 ml/min was an eligibility criterion. Safety data for TDF/FTC prescribed to persons with reduced renal function are not available. Therefore, for all persons considered for PrEP, a serum creatinine test should be done, and
an eCrCL should be calculated by using the Cockcroft-Gault formula (see Box C). Any person with an eCrCl of <60 ml/min should not be prescribed PrEP with TDF/FTC.

**BOX C COCKCROFT-GAULT FORMULAS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Formula$^{82}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{eCrCl}_{CG} = \left[ \frac{(140 - \text{age}) \times \text{IBW} \times 0.85 \text{ for females}}{(\text{serum creatinine} \times 72)} \right]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBW = ideal body weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males: IBW = 50 kg + 2.3 kg for each inch over 5 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females: IBW = 45.5 kg + 2.3 kg for each inch over 5 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years, weight in kg, and serum creatinine in mg/100mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Optional adjustment for low actual body weight$^{83}$**
If the actual body weight is less than the IBW (ideal body weight) use the actual body weight for calculating the eCrCl.

**Optional adjustment of high actual body weight$^{83}$**
Used only if the actual body weight is 30% greater than the IBW. Otherwise, the IBW is used.

$\text{eCrCl} = \left[ \frac{(140 - \text{age}) \times \text{AjBW}}{(\text{serum creatinine} \times 72)} \right] \times 0.85 \text{ for females}$

AjBW = IBW + 0.3(ABW - IBW)

AjBW = adjusted body weight

ABW = actual body weight

**Optional adjustment for body surface area (BSA)$^{84}$**
Can be used if actual body weight is greater or less than IBW

$\text{eCrCl}_{BSAadj} = 1.73m^2 \times \text{eCrCl}_{CG} (\text{ml/min}) \div \text{BSA of the patient (m}^2)$

$\text{BSA} = \left( \frac{\text{height (m)}^{0.725} \times \text{weight (kg)}^{0.425}}{139.2} \right)$

**HEPATITIS SEROLOGY**

Sexually active adults (especially MSM), and persons who inject illicit drugs, are at risk of acquiring HBV infection$^{85}$ and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection$^{86}$.

Vaccination against HBV is recommended for all adolescents and adults at substantial risk for HIV infection, especially for MSM. Therefore, HBV infection status should be documented by screening serology before TDF/FTC is prescribed as PrEP (see Table 9). Those patients determined to be susceptible to HBV infection should be vaccinated. Those patients found to be HBsAg positive should
be evaluated for possible treatment either by the clinician providing PrEP care or by linkage to an experienced HBV care provider.

HBV infection is not a contraindication to PrEP use. Both TDF and FTC are active against HBV\(^87\). HBV-monoinfected patients taking TDF or FTC, whether as PrEP or to treat HBV infection, who then stop these medications must have their liver function closely monitored for reactivation of HBV replication that can result in hepatic damage\(^6\).

**Table 9: Hepatitis B Screening Serology**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HBsAg</th>
<th>Total anti-HBc</th>
<th>IgM anti-HBc</th>
<th>anti-HBs</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative Negative</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>Vaccinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Positive</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Positive*</td>
<td></td>
<td>Immune (natural infection)</td>
<td>Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Negative</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Positive*</td>
<td></td>
<td>Immune (prior vaccination)</td>
<td>Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Chronic HBV infection</td>
<td>Evaluate for treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Acute HBV infection</td>
<td>Follow and evaluate for treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Positive</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unclear—could be: *</td>
<td>Case-by-case evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Resolved infection (most common)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• False-positive anti-HBc; susceptible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• “low level” chronic infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Resolving acute infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*= seroprotective levels of >10 mIU/mL

For additional guidance about the management of PrEP in persons with chronic active HBV infection see the section Special Clinical Considerations.

Serologic testing for HCV is recommended for persons who have ever injected drugs\(^88\). MSM at substantial risk for HIV infection being started on PrEP have been shown to have a high prevalence of HCV infection \(^89,90,91\). Therefore, MSM starting PrEP should be tested for HCV infection as a part of baseline laboratory assessment. HCV testing for all sexually active persons starting PrEP is a recommended consideration by guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) and the Infectious Disease Society of America (IDSA)\(^92\). In addition, persons born during 1945 through 1965 should be tested for HCV at least once in a lifetime (without prior ascertainment of HCV risk factors). Guidance from AASLD-IDSA recommends annual HCV retesting...
Patients with active HCV infection (HCV RNA+ with or without anti-HCV seropositivity) should be evaluated for possible treatment because TDF/FTC does not treat HCV infection. When the clinician providing PrEP care is not able to provide HCV care, the patient should be linked to an experienced HCV care provider.

**Testing for Sexually Transmitted Infections**

Tests to screen for syphilis are recommended for all adults prescribed PrEP, both at screening and at semi-annual visits. See the 2015 STD guidelines for recommended assays.

Tests to screen for gonorrhea are recommended for all sexually active adults prescribed PrEP, both at screening and at semi-annual visits. Tests to screen for chlamydia are recommended for all sexually active MSM prescribed PrEP, both at screening prior to initiation and at semi-annual visits.

Because chlamydia is very common, especially in young women and does not strongly correlate with risk of HIV acquisition, regular screening for chlamydia is not recommended for all sexually active women as a component of PrEP care. However, clinicians should refer to the 2015 STD guidelines for recommendations about chlamydia testing frequency for women regardless of PrEP use.

For gonorrhea and chlamydia testing in MSM, NAAT tests are preferred because of their sensitivity. Pharyngeal, rectal, and urine specimens should be collected ("3-site testing") to maximize the identification of infection, which may occur at any of these sites of exposure during sex. Self-collected samples have equivalent performance as clinician-obtained samples and can help streamline patient visit flow.

For gonorrhea testing in women, vaginal specimens for NAAT tests are preferred. They may also be self-collected. For women who report engaging in anal sex, rectal specimens for gonorrhea and chlamydia testing should be collected in addition to vaginal specimens. Studies have estimated that 29% of HIV infections in women are linked to sex with MSM (i.e., bisexual men), and that more than 1/3 of women report having had anal sex. In the HPTN 064 trial that recruited women at high risk of HIV acquisition, 38% reported condomless anal sex in the 6 months prior to enrollment. Identifying asymptomatic rectal gonorrhea in women at substantial risk for HIV infection and providing treatment can provide benefits to the woman’s health and help reduce the burden of infection in her sexual networks as well, especially when accompanied by partner services or expedited partner therapy.
Providing PrEP

**GOALS OF PREP THERAPY**

The ultimate goal of PrEP is to reduce the acquisition of HIV infection with its resulting morbidity, mortality, and cost to individuals and society. Therefore clinicians initiating the provision of PrEP should

- Prescribe medication regimens that are proven safe and effective for uninfected persons who meet recommended criteria to reduce their risk of HIV acquisition
- Educate patients about the medications and the regimen to maximize their safe use
- Provide support for medication-adherence to help patients achieve and maintain protective levels of medication in their bodies
- Provide HIV risk-reduction support and prevention services or service referrals to help patients minimize their exposure to HIV
- Provide effective contraception to women who are taking PrEP and who do not wish to become pregnant
- Monitor patients to detect HIV infection, medication toxicities, and levels of risk behavior in order to make indicated changes in strategies to support patients’ long-term health

**INDICATED MEDICATION**

The medication proven safe and effective, and currently approved by FDA for PrEP in healthy adults at risk of acquiring HIV infection, is the fixed-dose combination of TDF and FTC in a single daily dose (see Table 10). Therefore, TDF/FTC is the recommended medication that should be prescribed for PrEP for MSM, heterosexually active men and women, and PWID who meet recommended criteria. Because TDF alone has been proven effective in trials with PWID and heterosexually active men and women, it can be considered as an alternative regimen for these specific populations. As PrEP for MSM, TDF alone is not recommended because no trials have been done, so the efficacy of TDF alone for MSM is unknown.

**Table 10: Recommended Oral PrEP Medications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name</th>
<th>Trade Name</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Common Side Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF)</td>
<td>Viread</td>
<td>300 mg</td>
<td>Once a day</td>
<td>Nausea, flatulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emtricitabine (FTC)</td>
<td>Emtriva</td>
<td>200 mg</td>
<td>Once a day</td>
<td>Rash, headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDF + FTC</td>
<td>Truvada</td>
<td>300mg/200 mg</td>
<td>Once a day</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Not recommended alone; only for use in combination with TDF.

In addition to the safety data obtained in PrEP clinical trials, data on drug interactions and longer-term toxicities have been obtained by studying the component drugs individually for their use in treatment of HIV-infected persons. Studies have also been done in small numbers of HIV-uninfected, healthy adults (see Table 11).
Table 11: PrEP Medication Drug Interactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Interaction</th>
<th>TDF</th>
<th>FTC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buprenorphine</td>
<td>No significant effect. No dosage adjustment necessary.</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone</td>
<td>No significant effect. No dosage adjustment necessary.</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral contraceptives</td>
<td>No significant effect. No dosage adjustment necessary.</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acyclovir, valacyclovir, cidofovir, ganciclovir, valganciclovir, aminoglycosides, high-dose or multiple NSAIDS or other drugs that reduce renal function or compete for active renal tubular secretion</td>
<td>Serum concentrations of these drugs and/or TDF may be increased. Monitor for dose-related renal toxicities.</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ledipasvir/sofosbuvir</td>
<td>Serum concentrations of TDF may be increased. Monitor for toxicities.</td>
<td>No significant effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WHAT NOT TO USE**

No antiretroviral regimens should be used for PrEP other than a daily oral dose of TDF/FTC, or a daily dose of TDF alone as an alternative only for PWID and heterosexually active adults.

Other medications and other dosing schedules have not yet been shown to be safe or effective in preventing HIV acquisition among otherwise healthy adults and are not approved by FDA for PrEP.

- Do not use other antiretroviral medications (e.g., 3TC, TAF [tenofovir alafenamide]), either in place of, or in addition to, TDF/FTC or TDF.
- Do not use other than daily dosing (e.g., intermittent, episodic [pre/post sex only], or other discontinuous dosing)
- Do not provide PrEP as expedited partner therapy (i.e., do not prescribe for an uninfected person not in your care).

**TIME TO ACHIEVING PROTECTION**

The time from initiation of daily oral doses of TDF/FTC to maximal protection against HIV infection is unknown. There is not scientific consensus on what intracellular concentrations are protective for either drug or the protective contribution of each drug in specific body tissues. It has been shown that the pharmacokinetics of TDF and FTC vary by tissue\textsuperscript{111}.

Data from exploratory pharmacokinetic studies conducted with HIV-uninfected men and women does provide preliminary data on the lead-time required to achieve steady state levels of tenofovir diphosphate (TFV-DP, the activated form of the medication) in blood (PBMCs [peripheral blood mononuclear cells]), rectal, and vaginal tissues\textsuperscript{112,113}. These data suggest that maximum intracellular
concentrations of TFV-DP are reached in blood after approximately 20 days of daily oral dosing, in rectal tissue at approximately 7 days, and in cervicovaginal tissues at approximately 20 days. No data are yet available about intracellular drug concentrations in penile tissues susceptible to HIV infection to inform considerations of protection for male insertive sex partners.

**MANAGING SIDE EFFECTS**

 Patients taking PrEP should be informed of side effects among HIV-uninfected participants in clinical trials (see Table 5). In these trials, side effects were uncommon and usually resolved within the first month of taking PrEP (“start-up syndrome”). Clinicians should discuss the use of over-the-counter medications for headache, nausea, and flatulence should they occur. Patients should also be counseled about signs or symptoms that indicate a need for urgent evaluation (e.g., those suggesting possible acute renal injury or acute HIV infection).

**CLINICAL FOLLOW-UP AND MONITORING**

Once PrEP is initiated, patients should return for follow-up approximately every 3 months. Clinicians may wish to see patients more frequently at the beginning of PrEP (e.g., 1 month after initiation, to assess and confirm HIV-negative test status, assess for early side effects, discuss any difficulties with medication adherence, and answer questions.

All patients receiving PrEP should be seen as follows:

- **At least every 3 months to**
  - Repeat HIV testing and assess for signs or symptoms of acute infection to document that patients are still HIV negative (see Figure)
  - Repeat pregnancy testing for women who may become pregnant
  - Provide a prescription or refill authorization of daily TDF/FTC for no more than 90 days (until the next HIV test)
  - Assess side effects, adherence, and HIV acquisition risk behaviors
  - Provide support for medication adherence and risk-reduction behaviors
  - Respond to new questions and provide any new information about PrEP use
  - Conduct STI testing for sexually active persons with signs or symptoms of infection and screening for asymptomatic MSM at high risk for recurrent bacterial STIs (e.g., those with syphilis, gonorrhea, or chlamydia at prior visits or multiple sex partners)

- **At least every 6 months to**
  - Monitor eCrCl
    - If other threats to renal safety are present (e.g., hypertension, diabetes), renal function may require more frequent monitoring or may need to include additional tests (e.g., urinalysis for proteinuria)
    - A rise in serum creatinine is not a reason to withhold treatment if eCrCl remains ≥60 ml/min.
• If eCrCl is declining steadily (but still ≥60 ml/min), consultation with a nephrologist or other evaluation of possible threats to renal health may be indicated.
  
  o Conduct STI screening for sexually active adolescents and adults (i.e., syphilis and gonorrhea for both men and women, chlamydia for MSM) even if asymptomatic

- At least every 12 months to
  
  o Evaluate the need to continue PrEP as a component of HIV prevention

**OPTIONAL ASSESSMENTS**

**BONE HEALTH**

Decreases in bone mineral density (BMD) have been observed in HIV-infected persons treated with combination antiretroviral therapy (including TDF-containing regimes)\(^{114,115}\). However, it is unclear whether this 3%-4% decline would be seen in HIV-uninfected persons taking fewer antiretroviral medications for PrEP. The iPrEx trial (TDF/FTC) and the CDC PrEP safety trial in MSM (TDF) conducted serial dual-emission x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) scans on a subset of MSM in the trials and determined that a small (~1%) decline in BMD that occurred during the first few months of PrEP either stabilized or returned to normal\(^{23,116}\). There was no increase in fragility (atraumatic) fractures over the 1-2 years of observation in these studies comparing those persons randomized to receive PrEP medication and those randomized to receive placebo\(^{117}\).

Therefore, DEXA scans or other assessments of bone health are not recommended before the initiation of PrEP or for the monitoring of persons while taking PrEP. However, any person being considered for PrEP who has a history of pathologic or fragility bone fractures or who has significant risk factors for osteoporosis should be referred for appropriate consultation and management.

**THERAPEUTIC DRUG MONITORING**

Similar to the limited use of therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) in the treatment of HIV infection\(^{80}\), several factors mitigate against the routine use of TDM during PrEP. These factors include (1) a lack of established concentrations in blood associated with robust efficacy of TDF or FTC for the prevention of HIV acquisition in adults after exposure during penile-rectal or penile-vaginal intercourse\(^{118}\) and (2) the limited but growing availability of clinical laboratories that perform quantitation of antiretroviral medicine concentrations under rigorous quality assurance and quality control standards.

However, some clinicians may want to use TDM periodically to assess adherence to PrEP medication. If so, a key limitation should be recognized. The levels of medication in serum or plasma reflect only very recent doses, so they are not valid estimates of consistent adherence\(^{118}\). However, if medication is not detected or is detected at a very low level, support to reinforce medication adherence would be indicated.
Persons with Documented HIV Infection

All persons with HIV-positive test results whether at screening or while taking TDF/FTC or TDF alone as PrEP should be provided the following services.\(^{80}\)

- Laboratory confirmation of HIV status (see Figure)
- Determination of CD4 lymphocyte count and viral load to guide therapeutic decisions
- Documentation of results of genotypic HIV viral resistance testing to guide future treatment decisions
- If on PrEP, conversion of the PrEP regimen to an HIV treatment regimen recommended by the DHHS Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents\(^{80}\) without waiting for additional laboratory test results. See Clinical Providers’ Supplement Section 8.
- Provision of, or referral to, an experienced provider for the ongoing medical management of HIV infection
- Counseling about their HIV status and steps they should take to prevent HIV transmission to others and to improve their own health
- Assistance with, or referral to, the local health department for the identification of sex partners who may have been recently exposed to HIV so that they can be tested for HIV infection, considered for nonoccupational postexposure prophylaxis (nPEP)\(^{119}\), and counseled about their risk-reduction practices

In addition, a confidential report of new HIV infection should be provided to the local health department.

Discontinuing PrEP

Patients may discontinue PrEP medication for several reasons, including personal choice, changed life situations resulting in lowered risk of HIV acquisition, intolerable toxicities, chronic nonadherence to the prescribed dosing regimen despite efforts to improve daily pill-taking, or acquisition of HIV infection. How to safely discontinue and restart PrEP use should be discussed with patients both when starting PrEP and when discontinuing PrEP. Protection from HIV infection will wane over 7-10 days after ceasing daily PrEP use.\(^{120-122}\) Because some patients have acquired HIV infection soon after stopping PrEP use\(^{29}\), alternative methods to reduce risk for HIV acquisition should be discussed, including indications for PEP and how to access it quickly if needed.

Upon discontinuation for any reason, the following should be documented in the health record:

- HIV status at the time of discontinuation
- Reason for PrEP discontinuation
- Recent medication adherence and reported sexual risk behavior

For persons with incident HIV infection, see Persons with Documented HIV Infection. See also Clinical Providers’ Supplement Section 8 for a suggested management protocol.

For persons with active hepatitis B infection, see Special Clinical Considerations.
Any person who wishes to resume taking PrEP medications after having stopped should undergo all the same pre-prescription evaluation as a person being newly prescribed PrEP, including an HIV test to establish that they are still without HIV infection. In addition, a frank discussion should clarify the changed circumstances since discontinuing medication that indicate the need to resume medication, and the commitment to take it.

Special Clinical Considerations
The patient with certain clinical conditions requires special attention and follow-up by the clinician.

Women who become pregnant or breastfeed while taking PrEP medication

Women without HIV infection who have sex partners with documented HIV infection may be at risk of HIV acquisition during attempts to conceive (i.e., sex without a condom). Pregnancy is associated with an increased risk of HIV acquisition\textsuperscript{123}. Risk is substantial for women whose partners are not taking antiretroviral treatment medication or women whose partners are treated but not virally suppressed. Women whose partners have documented sustained viral load suppression are at effectively no risk of sexual acquisition of HIV infection (see page 32 above). The extent to which PrEP use further decreases risk of HIV acquisition when the male partner has a documented recent undetectable viral load is unknown.

However, clinicians providing pre-conception and pregnancy care to women who report their partners have HIV infection, may not be providing care to the male partner and so may not have access to their medical records documenting the recent viral load status of the partner with HIV infection\textsuperscript{65}. When the HIV status of the male partner is unknown, the clinician should offer HIV testing for the partner. When the male partner is reported to have HIV infection but his recent viral load status is not known, is reported detectable, or cannot be documented as undetectable, PrEP use during the preconception period and pregnancy by the uninfected woman offers an additional tool to reduce the risk of sexual HIV acquisition. Both the FDA labeling information\textsuperscript{6} and the perinatal antiretroviral treatment guidelines\textsuperscript{124} permit off-label use in pregnancy. However, data directly related to the safety of PrEP use for a developing fetus are limited. Providers should discuss available information about potential risks and benefits of beginning or continuing PrEP during pregnancy so that an informed decision can be made. (See Clinical Providers’ Supplement, Section 5 at [https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/prep-cdc-hiv-prep-provider-supplement-2017.pdf](https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/prep-cdc-hiv-prep-provider-supplement-2017.pdf)

In the PrEP trials with heterosexual women, medication was promptly discontinued for those who became pregnant, so the safety for exposed fetuses could not be adequately assessed. A single small study of periconception use of TDF in 46 uninfected women in HIV-discordant couples found no ill effects on the pregnancy and no HIV infections\textsuperscript{125}. Additionally, TDF and FTC are widely used for the treatment of HIV infection and continued during pregnancies that occur\textsuperscript{126-128}. The data on pregnancy outcomes in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry provide no evidence of adverse effects among fetuses exposed to these medications\textsuperscript{129}.
Providers should educate HIV-discordant couples who wish to become pregnant about the potential risks and benefits of all available alternatives for safer conception\textsuperscript{130,131} and if indicated make referrals for assisted reproduction therapies. Whether or not PrEP is elected, the HIV-infected partner should be prescribed effective antiretroviral therapy before conception attempts\textsuperscript{124,132}: if the infected partner is male, to reduce the risk of transmission-related viral load in semen; and in both sexes, for the benefit of their own health\textsuperscript{133}.

In addition, health care providers are strongly encouraged to prospectively and anonymously submit information about any pregnancies in which PrEP is used to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry at \url{http://www.apregistry.com/}.

The safety of PrEP with TDF/FTC or TDF alone for infants exposed during lactation has not been adequately studied. However, data from studies of infants born to HIV-infected mothers and exposed to TDF or FTC through breast milk suggest limited drug exposure.\textsuperscript{134,135} Additionally, the World Health Organization has recommended the use of TDF/FTC or 3TC/efavirenz for all pregnant and breastfeeding women for the prevention of perinatal and postpartum mother-to-child transmission of HIV\textsuperscript{136}. Therefore, providers should discuss current evidence about the potential risks and benefits of beginning or continuing PrEP during breastfeeding so that an informed decision can be made\textsuperscript{11}. (See Clinical Providers’ Supplement, Section 5 at \url{https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/prep-cdc-hiv-prep-provider-supplement-2017.pdf}

**PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC ACTIVE HEPATITIS B VIRUS INFECTION**

TDF and FTC are each active against both HIV infection and HBV infection and thus may prevent the development of significant liver disease by suppressing the replication of HBV. Only TDF, however, is currently FDA-approved for this use. Therefore, in persons with substantial risk of both HIV acquisition and active HBV infection, daily doses of TDF/FTC may be especially indicated.

All persons screened for PrEP who test positive for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) should be evaluated by a clinician experienced in the treatment of HBV infection. For clinicians without this experience, co-management with an infectious disease or a hepatic disease specialist should be considered. Patients should be tested for HBV DNA by the use of a quantitative assay to determine the level of HBV replication\textsuperscript{137} before PrEP is prescribed and every 6-12 months while taking PrEP.

TDF presents a very high barrier to the development of HBV resistance. However, it is important to reinforce the need for consistent adherence to the daily doses of TDF/FTC to prevent reactivation of

\textsuperscript{11}Although the DHHS Perinatal HIV Guidelines state that “pregnancy and breastfeeding are not contraindications for PrEP\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{11}}", the FDA-approved package insert\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{4}} says “If an uninfected individual becomes pregnant while taking TRUVADA for a PrEP indication, careful consideration should be given to whether use of TRUVADA should be continued, taking into account the potential increased risk of HIV-1 infection during pregnancy” and “mothers should be instructed not to breastfeed if they are receiving TRUVADA, whether they are taking TRUVADA for treatment or to reduce the risk of acquiring HIV-1.”. Therefore both are currently off-label uses of Truvada.
HBV infection with the attendant risk of hepatic injury, and to minimize the possible risk of developing TDF-resistant HBV infection\textsuperscript{138}.

If PrEP is no longer needed to prevent HIV infection, a separate determination should be made to about whether to continue TDF/FTC as a means of providing TDF to treat HBV infection. Acute flares resulting from the reactivation of HBV infection have been seen in HIV-infected persons after the cessation of TDF and other medications used to treat HBV infection. Such flares have not yet been seen in HIV-uninfected persons with chronic active HBV infection who have stopped taking TDF-containing PrEP regimens. Nonetheless, when such patients discontinue PrEP, they should continue to receive care from a clinician experienced in the management of HBV infection so that if flares occur, they can be detected promptly and treated appropriately.

**Patients with Chronic Renal Failure**

HIV-uninfected patients with chronic renal failure, as evidenced by an eCrCl of <60 ml/min, should not take PrEP because the safety of TDF/FTC for such persons was not evaluated in the clinical trials. TDF is associated with modestly reduced renal function when used as part of an antiretroviral treatment regimen in persons with HIV infection (which itself can affect renal function). Because other HIV prevention options are available, the only PrEP regimen proven effective to date (TDF/FTC) and approved by FDA for PrEP is not indicated for persons with chronic renal failure.\textsuperscript{6}

**Adolescent Minors**

As a part of primary health care, HIV screening should be discussed with all adolescents who are sexually active or have a history of injection drug use. Parental/guardian involvement in an adolescent’s health care is often desirable but is sometimes contraindicated for the safety of the adolescent. However, laws and regulations that may be relevant for PrEP-related services (including HIV testing), such as those concerning consent, confidentiality, parental disclosure, and circumstances requiring reporting to local agencies, differ by jurisdiction. Clinicians considering providing PrEP to a person under the age of legal adulthood (a minor) should be aware of local laws, regulations, and policies that may apply\textsuperscript{1139}.

Although the FDA labeling information specifies PrEP indications for “adults,” an age above which an adolescent is considered an adult is not provided.\textsuperscript{6} None of the completed PrEP trials have included persons under the age of 18. Therefore, clinicians should consider carefully the lack of data on safety and effectiveness of PrEP taken by persons under 18 years of age, the possibility of bone or other toxicities among youth who are still growing, and the safety evidence available when TDF/FTC is used in treatment regimens for HIV-infected youth\textsuperscript{140,141}. These factors should be weighed against the potential benefit of providing PrEP for an individual adolescent at substantial risk of HIV acquisition.
NONOCUPATIONAL POSTEXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS

Persons not receiving PrEP who seek care within 72 hours after an isolated sexual or injection-related HIV exposure should be evaluated for the potential need for nPEP. If the exposure is isolated (e.g., sexual assault, infrequent condom failure), nPEP should be prescribed, but PrEP or other continued antiretroviral medication is not indicated after completion of the 28-day PEP course.

Persons who repeatedly seek nPEP or who are at risk for ongoing HIV exposures should be evaluated for possible PrEP use after confirming they have not acquired HIV infection. Because HIV infection has been reported in association with exposures soon after completing an nPEP course, daily PrEP may be more protective than repeated intermittent episodes of nPEP. Persons who engage in behaviors that result in frequent, recurrent exposures that would require sequential or near-continuous courses of nPEP should be offered PrEP at the conclusion of their 28-day nPEP medication course. Because no definitive evidence exists that prophylactic antiretroviral use delays seroconversion, and nPEP is highly effective when taken as prescribed, a gap is unnecessary between ending nPEP and beginning PrEP.

Upon documenting HIV-negative status, preferably by using a laboratory-based Ag/Ab test, daily use of the fixed dose combination of TDF (300mg) and FTC (200 mg) can begin immediately for patients for whom PrEP is indicated. See Clinical Providers’ Supplement Section 9 for a recommended transition management strategy.

In contrast, patients fully adhering to a daily PrEP regimen do not need nPEP if they experience a potential HIV exposure while on PrEP. PrEP is highly effective when taken daily or near daily. For patients who report taking their PrEP medication sporadically, and those who did not take it within the week before the recent exposure, initiating a 28-day course of nPEP might be indicated. In that instance, all nPEP baseline and follow-up laboratory evaluations should be conducted. After the 28-day nPEP regimen is completed, if confirmed to be HIV uninfected, the previously experienced barriers to PrEP adherence should be evaluated and if addressed, daily PrEP regimen can be reinitiated.

Improving Medication Adherence

Data from the published studies of daily oral PrEP indicate that medication adherence is critical to achieving the maximum prevention benefit (see Table 4) and reducing the risk of selecting for a drug-resistant virus if non-adherence leads to HIV acquisition. Three additional studies reinforce the need to prescribe, and support adherence to uninterrupted daily doses of TDF/FTC.

A study of the pharmacokinetics of directly observed TDF dosing in MSM in the STRAND trial found that the intracellular levels of the active form of TDF (tenofovir diphosphate), when applied to the drug detection-efficacy statistical model with iPrEx participants, corresponded to an HIV risk reduction efficacy of 99% for 7 doses per week, 96% for 4 doses per week, and 76% for 2 doses per week. This finding adds to the evidence that despite some “forgiveness” for occasional missed doses for MSM, a high level of prevention efficacy requires a high level of adherence to daily medication. However, a laboratory study comparing vaginal and colorectal tissue levels of active metabolites of TDF and FTC found that drug levels associated with significant protection against HIV infection...
required 6-7 doses per week (~85% adherence) for lower vaginal tract tissues but only 2 doses per week (28% adherence) for colorectal tissues. This strongly suggests that there is less “forgiveness” for missed doses among women than among MSM.

A pilot study of daily TDF/FTC as PrEP with young MSM was stopped when the iPrEx trial results were reported. Among the 68 men enrolled (mean age, 20 years; 53% African American; 40% Hispanic/Latino) plasma specimens were tested to objectively measure medication adherence. At week 4, 63% had detectable levels of tenofovir, but at week 24, only 20% had detectable levels of tenofovir. Two open-label safety studies with 243 young MSM (median age 19, 46% African American, 32% Latino/Hispanic) similarly found lower adherence in young adult men than has been reported in older adult men taking PrEP, and lower adherence with quarterly visits than with monthly visits.

In addition, a study with MSM and commercial sex workers in Kenya evaluated adherence to daily, fixed-interval (Mondays and Fridays), and coitally-timed (single post-coital) TDF/FTC dosing schedules by the use of pill bottles with caps monitored by an electronic medication event monitoring system (MEMS) and monthly interviews about sexual behavior. Among the 67 men and 5 women in this study, 83% adhered to daily dosing, 55% to fixed-interval dosing, and 26% to post-coital dosing regimens. These findings suggest that adherence is better with daily dosing, as currently recommended, than with non-daily regimens (not yet proven effective as PrEP). These data confirm that medication education and adherence counseling (also called medication self-management) will need to be provided to support daily PrEP use.

A recent review of the antiretroviral treatment adherence studies over the past 15 years and adherence data from the completed PrEP trials suggests various approaches to effectively support medication adherence. These approaches include educating patients about their medications; helping them anticipate and manage side effects; helping them establish dosing routines that mesh with their work and social schedules; providing reminder systems and tools; addressing financial, substance abuse, or mental health needs that may impede adherence; and facilitating social support.

Although many published articles address antiretroviral medication adherence among persons being treated for HIV infection, these findings may be only partially applicable to PrEP users. HIV treatment regimens include more than 2 drugs (commonly including more than 1 pill per day), resulting in an increased pill burden, and the possibility of side effects and toxicities with 3 or more drugs may occur that would not occur with TDF/FTC alone. The motivations of persons being treated for HIV infection and persons trying to prevent HIV infection may differ. Because PrEP will be used in otherwise healthy adults, studies of the use of medications in asymptomatic adults for the prevention of potential serious future health outcomes may also be informative for enhancing adherence to PrEP medications. The most cost-effective interventions for improving adherence to antihypertensive and lipid-lowering medications were initiated soon after the patients started taking medication and involved personalized, regularly scheduled education and symptom management (patients were aware that adherence was being monitored). Patients with chronic diseases reported that the most important factors in adherence to medications were incorporating medication into their daily routines, knowing that the
medications work, believing that the benefits outweigh the risks, knowing how to manage side effects, and low out-of-pocket costs.152,153

When initiating a PrEP regimen, clinicians must educate patients so that they understand clearly how to take their medications (i.e., when to take them, how many pills to take at each dose) and what to do if they experience problems (e.g., what constitutes a missed dose [number of hours after the failure to take a scheduled dose], what to do if they miss a dose). Patients should be told to take a single missed dose as soon as they remember it, unless it is almost time for the next dose. If it is almost time for the next dose, patients should skip the missed dose and continue with the regular dosing schedule.

Side effects can lead to non-adherence, so clinicians need a plan for addressing them. Clinicians should tell patients about the most common side effects and should work with patients to develop a specific plan for handling them, including the use of specific over-the-counter medications that can mitigate symptoms. The importance of using condoms during sex, especially for patients who decide to stop taking their medications, should be reinforced.

**Box D: Key Components of Medication Adherence Counseling**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Establish trust and bidirectional communication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide simple explanations and education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Medication dosage and schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Management of common side effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Relationship of adherence to the efficacy of PrEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Signs and symptoms of acute HIV infection and recommended actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support adherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tailor daily dose to patient’s daily routine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Identify reminders and devices to minimize forgetting doses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Identify and address barriers to adherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor medication adherence in a non-judgmental manner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Normalize occasional missed doses, while ensuring patient understands importance of daily dosing for optimal protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reinforce success</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Identify factors interfering with adherence and plan with patient to address them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Assess side effects and plan how to manage them</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using a broad array of a health care professionals (e.g., physicians, nurses, case-managers, physician assistants, clinic-based and community pharmacists) that work together on a health care team to influence and reinforce adherence instructions significantly improves medication adherence and may alleviate the time constraints of individual providers.155,156. This broad-team approach may also provide a larger number of providers to counsel patients about self-management of behavioral risks.

Reducing HIV Risk Behaviors

The adoption and the maintenance of safer behaviors (sexual, injection, and other substance abuse) are critical for the lifelong prevention of HIV infection and are important for the clinical management of persons prescribed PrEP.

Video-based interventions such as Safe in the City, which make use of waiting-room time rather than clinician time, have reduced STI incidence in a general clinic population. However, they take a general approach, so they do not allow tailoring to the sexual risk-reduction needs of individual patients (e.g., as partners change, PrEP is initiated or discontinued).

Interactive, client-centered counseling (in which content is tailored to a patient’s sexual risk behaviors and the situations in which risks occur), in conjunction with goal-setting strategies is effective in HIV prevention. An example of this method is Project Respect: although this counseling protocol alone did not reduce HIV incidence significantly, 20-minute clinical counseling sessions to develop and review patient-specific, incremental risk-reduction plans led to reduced incidence of STIs in a heterosexual population. Project Aware included MSM and heterosexuals attending STD clinics and provided a single brief counseling session (using the Respect-2 protocol) while conducting rapid HIV testing. There was no reduction in the incidence of STIs attributed to counseling. However, in the context of PrEP delivery, brief, repeated counseling sessions can take advantage of multiple visits for follow-up care while addressing the limited time available for individual visits and the multiple prevention and treatment topics that busy practitioners need to address.

Reducing or eliminating injection risk practices can be achieved by providing access to drug treatment and relapse prevention services (e.g., methadone, buprenorphine for opiate users) for persons who are willing to participate. For persons not able (e.g., on a waiting list or lacking insurance) or not motivated to engage in drug treatment, providing access to unused injection equipment through syringe service programs (where available), prescriptions for syringes or purchase from pharmacies without a prescription (where legal) can reduce HIV exposure. In addition, providing or referring for cognitive or behavioral counseling and any indicated mental health or social services may help reduce risky injection practices. See the Substance Abuse Treatment and Mental Health Treatment Locators at http://findtreatment.samhsa.gov/.

Box E: Key Components of Behavioral Risk-Reduction Counseling

Establish trust and 2-way communication
- Provide feedback on HIV risk factors identified during sexual and substance use history taking
  - Elicit barriers to, and facilitators of, consistent condom use
  - Elicit barriers to, and facilitators of, reducing substance abuse

Support risk-reduction efforts
- Assist patient to identify 1 or 2 feasible, acceptable, incremental steps toward risk reduction
- Identify and address anticipated barriers to accomplishing planned actions to reduce risk

Monitor behavioral adherence in a non-judgmental manner
- Acknowledge the effort required for behavior change
- Reinforce success
- If not fully successful, assess factors interfering with completion of planned actions and assist patient to identify next steps

Financial Case-Management Issues for PrEP

One critical component in providing PrEP medications and related clinical and counseling services is identifying insurance and other reimbursement sources. Although some commercial insurance and employee benefits programs have defined policies for the coverage of PrEP, others have not yet done so. Similarly, public insurance sources vary in their coverage policy. Most public and private insurers cover PrEP but co-pay, co-insurance, and prior authorization policies differ.

For patients who do not have health insurance, whose insurance does not cover PrEP medication, and whose personal resources are inadequate to pay out-of-pocket, Gilead Sciences has established a PrEP medication assistance program. In addition to providing Truvada to providers for eligible patients and access to free HIV testing, the program provides co-pay assistance for medication and free condoms to patients on request. Providers may obtain applications for their patients at https://start.truvada.com/. In addition, a few states and cities have PrEP-specific financial assistance programs (check with your local health department).

Decision Support, Training and Technical Assistance

Decision support systems (electronic and paper), flow sheets, checklists (see Clinical Providers’ Supplement, Section 1 for a PrEP provider/patient checklist at https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/prep-cdc-hiv-prep-provider-supplement-2017.pdf), feedback reminders, and involvement of nurse clinicians and pharmacists will be helpful in managing the many steps indicated for the safe use of PrEP and to increase the likelihood that patients will follow them. Often these systems are locally developed but may become available from various sources including training centers and Web sites funded by government agencies; professional associations, or interested private companies. Examples include downloadable applications (widgets) to support the delivery of nPEP or locate nearby sites for
confidential HIV tests (http://www.hivtest.org); and confidential commercial services to electronically monitor medication-taking, send text message reminders, or provide telephone assistance to help patients with problems concerning medication adherence.

Training and technical assistance in providing components of PrEP-related services, medications, and counseling are available at the following Web sites:

- PrEPline: National Clinician’s Consultation Center (http://nccc.ucsf.edu/clinical-resources/prep-guidelines-and-resources/)
- National PrEP Clinician Locator (https://preplocator.org/)
- The National Network of STD/HIV Prevention Training Centers (http://nnptc.org/)
- The AIDS Education Training Centers National Resource Center (http://www.aids-ed.org)
- The Addiction Technology Transfer Center Network (http://www.attcnetwork.org)

**Related Guidelines**

This document is consistent with several other guidelines from several organizations related to sexual health, HIV prevention, and the use of antiretroviral medications. Clinicians should refer to these other documents for detailed guidance in their respective areas of care.

- Screening For HIV: Current Recommendations USPSTF, 2013
- Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings
- Recommendations for HIV Screening of Gay, Bisexual, and Other Men Who Have Sex with Men — United States, 2017
- Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in HIV-1-Infected Adults and Adolescents
- Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant HIV-1-Infected Women for Maternal Health and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States
- Updated Guidelines for Antiretroviral Postexposure Prophylaxis After Sexual, Injection Drug Use, or Other Non-occupational Exposure to HIV -United States, 2017
- Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines, 2015
- Recommendations for Partner Services Programs for HIV Infection, Syphilis, Gonorrhea, and Chlamydial Infection
- Expedited Partner Therapy in the Management of Sexually Transmitted Diseases, 2006
- Behavioral counseling to prevent sexually transmitted infections: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement
- Recommendations for Identification and Public Health Management of Persons with Chronic Hepatitis B Virus Infection
- Hepatitis C guidance: AASLD-I DSA recommendations for testing, managing, and treating adults infected with hepatitis C virus
* Integrated prevention services for HIV infection, viral hepatitis, sexually transmitted diseases, and tuberculosis for persons who use drugs illicitly: summary guidance from CDC and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services\textsuperscript{72}
APPENDIX 1 GRADING OF STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATIONS AND QUALITY OF EVIDENCE

Key recommendations in this guideline are based on the review of published scientific evidence and expert opinions. For details on the guidelines development process used, see the Clinical Providers’ Supplement, Section 14 at https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/prep-cdc-hiv-prep-provider-supplement-2017.pdf.

Using the same grading system as the DHHS antiretroviral treatment guidelines, these key recommendations are rated with a letter to indicate the strength of the recommendation and with a numeral to indicate the quality of the combined evidence supporting each recommendation.

**Table 12: Rating Scheme for Recommendations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strong recommendation for the statement</th>
<th>I. One or more well-executed randomized, controlled trials with clinical outcomes, validated laboratory endpoints, or both</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate recommendation for the statement</td>
<td>II. One or more well-executed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with clinical outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optional recommendation for the statement</td>
<td>III. Expert opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The quality of scientific evidence ratings in Table 2 are based on the GRADE rating system.\textsuperscript{38}

**Table 13: Criteria for rating quality of scientific evidence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of evidence</th>
<th>Randomized trial = high</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observational study = low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any other evidence = very low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decrease grade if\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Serious or very serious limitation to study quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Important inconsistency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some or major uncertainty about directness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imprecise or sparse data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High probability of reporting bias</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increase grade if\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Strong evidence of association – significant relative risk &gt;2 (&lt;0.5) based on consistent evidence from 2 or more observational studies, with no plausible confounders (+1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very strong evidence of association – significant relative risk of &gt;5 (&lt;0.2) based on direct evidence with no major threats to validity (+2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence of a dose-response gradient (+1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All plausible confounders would have reduced the effect (+1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>High-quality evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate-quality evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low-quality evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very-low quality evidence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Each quality criterion can reduce or increase the quality by 1 or, if very significant, by 2 levels.

Source: \url{http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/FAQ/evidence_qual.htm}
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