AGENDA
1. Welcome/Introductions
2. Approve Minutes (Action Item)
3. State ESG Recommendations Update (Action Item)
4. HUD CoC Priority Listing (Action Item)
5. HUD System Performance Measures
6. PIT Count Ramp Up
7. Committee Updates
8. Consumer Advisory Committee Update
9. Nuts & Bolts
10. Pin it
2. APPROVE MINUTES (ACTION ITEM)

Gabriel Lemus, Council Chair

Review and adoption of minutes from the August Council meeting.
3. STATE ESG RECOMMENDATIONS UPDATE (ACTION ITEM)

Gabriel Lemus, Council Chair

Review revised State ESG recommendations for consideration and approval by Council.
# ESG RANKED PROJECTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SHELTER, Inc.</td>
<td>RRH &amp; Homeless Prevention</td>
<td>$222,537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SHELTER, Inc.</td>
<td>Mountain View Family ES</td>
<td>$62,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Interfaith Council</td>
<td>Winter Nights Family ES</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Monument Crisis</td>
<td>Safety Net Homeless Services</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>CORE Outreach Program</td>
<td>$69,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>STAND!</td>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>$46,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Trinity Center</td>
<td>Emergency Day Shelter</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>CCDCD</td>
<td>State ESG Administration</td>
<td>$18,213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. HUD COC PRIORITY LISTING (ACTION ITEM)

Amanda Wehrman, HomeBase

Review of 2017 CoC project priority listing for the 2017 NOFA competition. Possible action to approve HUD CoC Priority Listing as recommended by Review and Rank Panel.
BONUS PROJECTS AND TIERING

• Permanent Housing Bonus is slightly larger this year (6% of renewal demand)
• Two new project types: DedicatedPLUS and Joint TH-RRH
• Slightly more projects protected in Tier 1 (94% of renewals, up from 93%)
• Tier 2 scoring no longer considers project type
# ESTIMATED AVAILABLE FUNDING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Type</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Renewal Demand</td>
<td>$12,753,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Housing Bonus</td>
<td>$765,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 1 Funding</td>
<td>$11,988,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 2 Funding</td>
<td>$1,530,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoC Planning Funding</td>
<td>$382,602</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LOCAL REVIEW & RANK PROCESS

• Review and Rank Panel of non-conflicted Council members met August 31 to review and rank all renewal and new project applications for the FY2017 CoC Program local competition

• Reviewed data and narrative responses, and conducted interviews with each agency

• Scored projects using scoring tools developed by CoC Providers Cmte and approved by Council
PRIORITY LISTING

• Recommended by the Review & Rank Panel based on rankings of projects using the scoring tools

• Projects operational less than one year, and HMIS projects, automatically placed at the bottom of Tier 1 by local policy decision after passing threshold review
BONUS PROJECTS

• One new bonus project was included in the priority listing, HACCC/H3’s High Utilizers of Multiple Systems (HUMS) DedicatedPLUS project, which would serve 34 homeless frequent utilizers of primary care and behavioral health services in MicroPAD units ($765,203)
STRADDLING THE TIERS

• The HUMS Bonus project is straddling the tiers; the Tier 1 amount would be funded by HUD, and the Tier 2 amount would be subject to a national competition

• Proposal by HACCC/H3: switch the rankings of HUMS and TBRA to ensure Bonus project is funded
PROPOSED SWITCH: HUMS AND TBRA

As recommended by R&R Panel:

7. TBRA
$6,262,575 in Tier 1
18. HUMS
$129,909 in Tier 1
$635,294 in Tier 2

Proposed by HACCC/H3:

7. HUMS
$765,203 in Tier 1
18. TBRA
$5,627,281 in Tier 1
$635,294 in Tier 2

No impact on rankings of any other projects.
## RECOMMENDED BY R&R PANEL

### Tier 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Payment Type</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HACCC</td>
<td>S+C Villa Vasconcellos</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$104,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>HACCC</td>
<td>S+C Lakeside</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$83,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CCIH</td>
<td>ACCESS</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$754,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RCD</td>
<td>Idaho Apartments</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$194,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CCIH</td>
<td>Garden Park Apartments Community</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$254,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CCHS</td>
<td>Permanent Connections</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$251,686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>HACCC</td>
<td>Contra Costa Tenant-Based Rental Assistance</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$6,262,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SHELTER, Inc.</td>
<td>Permanent Step Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$145,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CCHS</td>
<td>Destination Home</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$296,528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SHELTER, Inc.</td>
<td>REACH Plus RRH</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>$446,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>HACCC</td>
<td>Contra Costa Project-Based Rental Assistance</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$147,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>CCIH</td>
<td>Families in Supportive Housing</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$797,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SHELTER, Inc.</td>
<td>Project Thrive</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$438,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SAHA</td>
<td>Tabora Gardens</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$288,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>CCHS</td>
<td>Contra Costa Coordinated Entry</td>
<td>SSO</td>
<td>$550,344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>CCHS</td>
<td>Contra Costa Coordinated Entry Expansion</td>
<td>SSO</td>
<td>$666,691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>CCHS</td>
<td>Contra Costa HMIS</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$175,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>HACCC</td>
<td>High Utilizers of Multiple Systems (Bonus) (Straddling Tiers)</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$129,909</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tier 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Payment Type</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>HACCC</td>
<td>High Utilizers of Multiple Systems (Bonus) (Straddling Tiers)</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$635,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>SHELTER, Inc.</td>
<td>Transitional Housing Partnership</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$313,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Anka</td>
<td>Project Coming Home - Addressing Addictions to Alcohol</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$581,873</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## HUMS Alternative Proposed by HACCC/H3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Program Type</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. HACCC</td>
<td>S+C Villa Vasconcellos</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$104,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. HACCC</td>
<td>S+C Lakeside</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$83,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. CCIH</td>
<td>ACCESS</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$754,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. RCD</td>
<td>Idaho Apartments</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$194,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. CCIH</td>
<td>Garden Park Apartments Community</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$254,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. CCHS</td>
<td>Permanent Connections</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$251,686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. HACCC</td>
<td>High Utilizers of Multiple Systems (Bonus)</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$765,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. SHELTER, Inc.</td>
<td>Permanent Step Project</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$145,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. CCHS</td>
<td>Destination Home</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$296,528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. SHELTER, Inc.</td>
<td>REACH Plus RRH</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>$446,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. HACCC</td>
<td>Contra Costa Project-Based Rental Assistance</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$147,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. CCIH</td>
<td>Families in Supportive Housing</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$797,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. SHELTER, Inc.</td>
<td>Project Thrive</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$438,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. SAHA</td>
<td>Tabora Gardens</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$288,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. CCHS</td>
<td>Contra Costa Coordinated Entry</td>
<td>SSO</td>
<td>$550,344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. CCHS</td>
<td>Contra Costa Coordinated Entry Expansion</td>
<td>SSO</td>
<td>$666,691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. CCHS</td>
<td>Contra Costa HMIS</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$175,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. HACCC</td>
<td>Contra Costa Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (Straddling Tiers)</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$5,627,281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Program Type</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18. HACCC</td>
<td>Contra Costa Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (Straddling Tiers)</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$635,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. SHELTER, Inc.</td>
<td>Transitional Housing Partnership</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$313,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Anka</td>
<td>Project Coming Home - Addressing Addictions to Alcohol</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>$581,873</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ACTION FOR CONSIDERATION

• Approval of the recommended priority listing of CoC Program projects
5. HUD SYSTEM PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Dana Ewing, H3

Review of CoC system performance measures data submitted to HUD.
PURPOSE

NOFA—looks at whole COC, not individuals programs

Evaluation — COC strengths, areas to improve
REQUIRED MEASURES

1. Length of Time Homeless
2. Returns to Homelessness
3. Number of Homeless Persons
4. Employment and Income Growth
5. Number of Persons Who Became Homeless For First Time
6. Homeless Prevention & Housing Placement
7. Successful Permanent Housing Placement from Street Outreach
PROJECT TYPE ABBREVIATIONS:

ES  Emergency Shelter
TH  Transitional Shelter/Housing
SH  Safe Haven
RRH Rapid Rehousing
PSH Permanent Supportive Housing
MSC Multi-Service Center
SO  Street Outreach
MEASURE 1: LENGTH OF TIME HOMELESS

ES only: 112 days  (9 more days than previous year)
ES & TH: 154 days  (5 fewer days than previous year)

Conversation:

- This only looks at time active in system if enrolled in ES, TH; not MSCs, SO.
- Our CoC looks at self-report for total LOT (not just while enrolled in homeless programs)
- We also look at Length of Stay at shelters—which is different than length of time homeless
- How will it differ with CE? CORE referrals to ES?
### MEASURE 2: RETURNS TO HOMELESSNESS

**16% in COC returned to homelessness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Exit to PH</th>
<th>Total Returned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>92 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>21 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>17 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>139 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1718</strong></td>
<td><strong>278 (16%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conversation:**

- This only analyzes those that re-enter into ES, SH, and TH; not MSCs and SO.
MEASURE 3: NUMBER OF HOMELESS PERSONS

Conversation:

- For service data, only tabulates those utilizing ES, SH, TH; not MSCs, Outreach.
- HUD looks only at ES and TH; but our COC has more unsheltered than other national data

2016 PIT data:
1730 (-301 from previous year)

Annual data:
1526 in ES (35 more than previous year);
271 in TH (112 fewer than previous year)
MEASURE 4: EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME GROWTH

14% of “stayers” had an increase (mostly in non-cash income) (12% less than previous year)

31% of “leavers” had an increase (1/2 in cash and non-cash) (26% less than previous year)

Conversation:
- This only looks at those enrolled in RRH, PSH, and TH
MEASURE 5: FIRST TIME HOMELESS

1,001 were newly homeless
(194 fewer than previous year)

73% were newly homeless

Conversation:
- Analyzes only those enrolled in ES, SH, or TH and identifies those not in HMIS in previous two years.
- Our CoC includes those in MSCs and SO
MEASURE 6: PREVENTION AND AT-RISK

This is not required but we already assess and will be ready once required by HUD.
MEASURE 7: SUCCESSFUL PLACEMENTS FROM SO AND RETENTION IN PSH

44% from SO had successful exits
(only two exited to temp setting)

96% of PSH retained Perm Housing

Conversation:
- CORE Data may have been incomplete in September 2016
- How will CORE data differ with CE? CORE referrals to ES?
- How will retention in PSH differ with prioritization?
FOUNDATION FOR LARGER EVALUATION

CoC develops other Performance Measures and analyzes data a little differently

CoC also reviews data for individual programs

Focus on how CE implemented...not just outcomes
6. PIT COUNT RAMP UP

Jaime Jenett, H3

Discussion of preparation for 2018 PIT Count and PIT Count methodology.
7. COMMITTEE UPDATES (ACTION ITEM)

Amanda Wehrman, HomeBase
Juliana Pooley, H3

Standing Item. Report out on Consumer Advisory, Coordinated Entry, and Policy & Advocacy Cmtes; Homeless Awareness Month; and Executive Directors Meeting.
COORDINATED ENTRY UPDATES

• Upcoming Trainings
• Housing Security Fund & Prevention / Diversion Needs
• Next CE RFP in Process
• Oversight Roles & Responsibilities
• Data/Evaluation Draft Report
• HMIS Rollout & Referral Process
POLICY & ADVOCACY COMMITTEE

Join us for our first meeting!
Friday, Sept. 22, 1-3 pm
Personnel Training Room
1320 Arnold Drive, Martinez

Purpose: explore the policy and advocacy landscape and make recommendations to the Council for possible actions.
HOMELESS AWARENESS MONTH

- Toolkit
- Speak at public meetings
- Film event with discussion
- Volunteer Award
- Media
EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS MEETING

• MHSA Housing & Funding Update
• Upcoming Training Opportunities
• Coordinated Entry Implementation
• System-Level Data on Community Need
• Policy & Advocacy Committee
• 2017 CoC Application Brainstorm
8. CONSUMER ADVISORY COMMITTEE UPDATE

Dana Ewing, H3

Discussion of ideas and recruitment.
OBJECTIVES

• Gain deeper understanding of issues that lead to homelessness
• Learn about challenges faced by those living on the street
• Seek input on solutions
• Gather success stories
DATA COLLECTION OPPORTUNITIES

• Host community forums

• Provide surveys or focus groups at community events
  • Stand Down on the Delta
  • Monument Crisis events for at-risk
  • Community events for at-risk youth (work around PIT Planning)
DATA COLLECTION OPPORTUNITIES

• Focus Groups and Surveys
  • Emergency shelters (reach various sub-populations)
  • CORE (one week “blitz”)
  • Permanent Supportive Housing sites
  • Upon exit from Rapid Rehousing programming
  • Success stories

• On-going feedback opportunities on website
9. NUTS & BOLTS

Standing Item. Community Announcements.
Housing Town Hall

What are the facts in your community? What are the challenges? What are the opportunities?

Join the dialogue on how together we can create a home for everyone.

Save the Date

Saturday, October 14
10:00—Noon
Doors open at 9:30 AM
San Ramon Valley
United Methodist Church
902 Danville Blvd, Alamo

Questions? Contact Zuleika Godinez at Ensuring Opportunity:
zgodinez@richmondfcf.org, (510) 234-1200 ext. 307
10. PIN IT

Standing Item. Future items of discussion and scheduling to be considered.

Next Council Meeting: October 5, 2017
Location change reminder:
Sequoia Room, 50 Douglas Drive, Martinez