HUD COC PROGRAM & HCD ESG NOFA UPDATES

HUD COC PROGRAM COMPETITION

• FY2013 total CoC request: $9,566,187
• Voluntary reallocations
  o All SSO projects voluntarily reallocated in light of HUD priorities: FERST Multi-Service Centers (CCHS), West County Resource Center (GRIP), Resource Services (Rubicon)
  o REACH Plus TH (SHELTER, Inc.) voluntarily reduced their grant to reallocate some funding to Rapid Rehousing
• New reallocated projects
  o Contra Costa Project-Based Rental Assistance, HACCC
  o Contra Costa Tenant-Based Rental Assistance, HACCC
  o GRIP Reallocation Permanent Supportive Housing, GRIP
  o Reach Plus Family Rapid Rehousing, SHELTER, Inc.
• The Review & Rank Panel of the CCICH Advisory Board ranked all Project Applications on January 9, 2014
  o Tier 2: S+C CHI 1 (HACCC), Destination Home (CCHS)
    ▪ Renewal PSH projects are HUD’s first selection priority for Tier 2 projects
  o Full Priority Listings are available on the CCICH website
• Consolidated Application was successfully submitted to the Department of Housing & Urban Development on February 3, 2014
• Feedback on the process has been solicited from the HUD Grantees
• HUD intends to announce conditional funding for Tier 1 renewal projects within 45 days of the application submission deadline of the CoC Program Competition, which would be March 20, 2014
HUD COC PROGRAM & HCD ESG NOFA UPDATES

HCD ESG COMPETITION

- HUD awards the State of California Department of Housing & Community Development (HCD) funding for the Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) on an annual basis to distribute to jurisdictions that do not directly receive formula-based funding from HUD
  - FY2013 allocation for CA: $8,419,262
- Funds may be used for: Emergency Shelter, Street Outreach, Homelessness Prevention, Rapid Re-Housing Assistance, and HMIS, as well as Local Grant Administration
- This year, HCD set aside 30% of the funding for Rapid Re-Housing
- CoCs are required to submit a Certification of Local Need, which includes a ranked list of ESG applications submitted from their jurisdiction
  - Ranking accounts for 100 of the 785 point scale for the applications
- CCICH Advisory Board met January 30, 2014 to review the applications, and ranked the applications received according to the Component Priority list approved at the November 15, 2013 meeting of the Advisory Board, as follows:
  1. Rapid Re-Housing
  2. Street Outreach
  3. Emergency Shelter
  4. HMIS
- Ranked list submitted to HCD before February 10, 2014 deadline:
  1. SHELTER, Inc., Rapid Re-Housing
  2. Pacheco/Mtz Homeless Outreach, Night Time Outreach
  3. Trinity Center, Emergency Day Shelter
  4. SHELTER, Inc., Homeless Prevention
- Awards expected to be announced by HCD on April 1, 2014
1. Conduct Geographic Analysis to map the 2013 Point in Time (PIT) Count from 420 Continuums of Care (CoC) to 140 Parent VA Medical Centers (VAMC)

2. Use the mapped PIT Count to estimate total number of Homeless Veterans from 2013-2015 and identify the interventions they need to achieve Permanent Housing (PH)

3. Based on operational data from reporting systems, estimate the number of PH placements that can be achieved by each VAMC’s assets. Leverage strategies to generate additional placements.

4. Identify the gap for each type of need and the total gap. The total gap calculation assumes that gaps in one need area can be covered by excess in another need area at the same site.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Continuum of Care (CoC)</th>
<th>CoC Num</th>
<th>2013 Count: PIT Homeless Veterans in CoC</th>
<th>Proportion of CoC served by VAMC (%)</th>
<th>2013 Estimate: PIT Homeless Veterans in area served by VAMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Napa City &amp; County CoC</td>
<td>CA-517</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuolumne, Calaveras, Amador Counties CoC</td>
<td>CA-526</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseville/Rocklin/Placer, Nevada Counties</td>
<td>CA-515</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colusa, Glen, Lake, Tehama, Trinity Counties CoC</td>
<td>CA-523</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Dorado County CoC</td>
<td>CA-525</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland/Alameda County CoC</td>
<td>CA-502</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento City &amp; County CoC</td>
<td>CA-503</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond/Contra Costa County CoC</td>
<td>CA-505</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redding/Shasta County CoC</td>
<td>CA-516</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vallejo/Solano County CoC</td>
<td>CA-518</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chico/Paradise/Butte County CoC</td>
<td>CA-519</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis/Woodland/Yolo County CoC</td>
<td>CA-521</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuba City, Marysville/Sutter, Yuba Counties CoC</td>
<td>CA-524</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Homeless Veterans in areas served by your VA Medical Center: **Mapped**

**2013 Point in Time (PIT) Count**

1,449
**Annualization Multiplier** is used to estimate the annual number of homeless Veterans for 2013 and future inflows based on the mapped 2013 PIT count.

Future Inflow per year = 2013 Annualized Estimate - 2013 Mapped PIT Count.

1,9

1,449 Veterans were homeless at the beginning of 2013

1,304 Veterans are estimated to enter homelessness in each year from 2013 to 2015

5,361 Veterans will be homeless between Jan. 2013 and Dec. 2015

1,504 Veterans need PSH and qualify for VA Healthcare

265 Veterans need PSH and do not qualify for VA Healthcare

1,347 Veterans need rapid rehousing assistance

1,347 Veterans need other residential programs

898 Veterans will exit homelessness without any PH interventions

Nature of Homelessness

Interventions needed to achieve PH

Eligibility

- Permanent Supportive Housing - PSH (100%)
- Rapid Rehousing-RRH (37.5%)
- Other Residential Programs (37.5%)
- Self Resolving (25.0%)

Eligible for one or more residential programs

- VA Healthcare Eligible (85.0%)
- VA Supportive Services Eligible
- Eligible for one or more residential programs

- 5,361 Veterans will be homeless between Jan. 2013 and Dec. 2015
While computing the gap for those needing PSH and are VHA eligible, we assume that excess resources in Non-VA PSH assets can be used to assist these Veterans.

** While computing the total gap, we assume that excess resources in a program can be leveraged to cover remaining gaps in other need areas.
STRATEGIC PLANNING PROCESS

Modular Approach

Four Key Stakeholder Groupings

Plan Development

Inform and Engage Segmented Audiences

Synthesize Inputs

Release Update
STRATEGIC PLANNING AD HOC COMMITTEE

**Purpose:** A committee to develop the strategic plan, bringing together various stakeholder groups to work with three CCICH Advisory Board Members: Teri House, Doug Stewart, and Brenda Kain.

**Schedule:** Meets First Thursdays, 10 am – 11:30 am

**Location:** 1350 Arnold Drive, Suite 202 – Homeless Programs Conference Room

**Please sign up!** Two ways to sign up:

- On the sheet being passed around, OR
- Email Amanda Stempson ([Amanda@homebaseccc.org](mailto:Amanda@homebaseccc.org)) at HomeBase
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>CCICH</th>
<th>CCICH Board</th>
<th>Strategic Plan Ad Hoc Committee</th>
<th>Program Staff (e.g., HUD Grantees)</th>
<th>Executive Leadership</th>
<th>Targeted Community Groups</th>
<th>Consumers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>March 14: Strategic Plan</td>
<td>March 11: Governance; Strategic Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>March 6: Strategic Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td></td>
<td>April 8: Governance &amp; Program Monitoring; Strategic Plan</td>
<td>April 3: Strategic Plan – Prevention Services &amp; Other Supports</td>
<td>Coordinated Assessment; Governance &amp; Program Monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>May 13: Governance &amp; Program Monitoring; Strategic Plan</td>
<td>May 1: Strategic Plan – Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Coordinated Assessment; Strategic Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>June 13: Coordinated Intake &amp; Assessment</td>
<td>June 10: Governance &amp; Program Monitoring; Strategic Plan</td>
<td>June 5: Strategic Plan – Coordinated Intake &amp; Assessment</td>
<td>Program Monitoring</td>
<td>(1) Coordinated Assessment</td>
<td>Attend existing meetings on ongoing basis</td>
<td>Attend existing meetings on ongoing basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Strategic Plan (at Con Plan meeting)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>July 8: Governance &amp; Program Monitoring; Strategic Plan</td>
<td>July 10: Strategic Plan – Performance Measures</td>
<td></td>
<td>Coordinated Assessment</td>
<td>(1) Strategic Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) Strategic Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2014 STRATEGIC PLANNING PROPOSED TIMELINE

Contra Costa Interagency Council on Homelessness (CCICH)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>CCICH</th>
<th>CCICH Board</th>
<th>Strategic Plan Ad Hoc Committee</th>
<th>Program Staff (e.g., HUD Grantees)</th>
<th>Executive Leadership</th>
<th>Targeted Community Groups</th>
<th>Consumers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td></td>
<td>August 12: Governance &amp; Program Monitoring; Strategic Plan</td>
<td>August 7: Strategic Plan – Communication</td>
<td>Coordinated Assessment; Strategic Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attend existing meetings on ongoing basis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>Sept. 12: Governance &amp; Program Monitoring; Release of Strategic Plan Update by Oct. 1</td>
<td>Sept. 9: Strategic Plan – Final Review</td>
<td>September 4: Strategic Plan – Final Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attend existing meetings on ongoing basis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Sheltered vs. Unsheltered PIT Count 2005-2013

#### Number of Persons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sheltered</th>
<th>Unsheltered</th>
<th>Total Number of Persons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>4454</td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>5749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1758</td>
<td>1749</td>
<td>3507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1872</td>
<td>3824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2782</td>
<td>1490</td>
<td>4228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2448</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>3798</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sheltered PIT Count 2005 - 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persons in Families with</td>
<td>1343</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>1307</td>
<td>858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Adults</td>
<td>3017</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>1473</td>
<td>1422</td>
<td>1161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaccompanied Youth</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition Age Youth</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HIC 2007-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>ES</th>
<th>TH</th>
<th>PSH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>1027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>1102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>977</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HIC 2007-2013
PSH Beds for Chronically Homeless

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Beds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2014 Housing Element Update
County Community Meeting 2014

Contra Costa County
Department of Conservation and Development
Overview

- State Housing Element Law
- Statutory Considerations
- County Progress to Date
- Land Inventory
- HCD Review
- Next Steps
- Q/A
State Housing Element Law

Requires:

- An update of the Housing Element every eight years (Per SB 375).
- A plan to meet the existing and projected housing needs of all economic segments of the community.
- Local governments to adopt land use plans and regulatory systems which provide opportunities for, and do not unduly constrain, housing development.
- An HCD review of local housing elements for compliance with State law.
Statutory Considerations

- Increase housing supply
- Affordability
- Housing types
- Encourage efficient development and infill
- Promote jobs-housing balance
Changes Since 2009

- State laws enacted since 2009
  - AB 26X & AB 27X Eliminated Redevelopment agencies – a significant source of affordable housing development funds.
  - SB 1241, AB 162 & SB 5 – Update floor and fire hazards in Safety Element.
  - SB 244 – Requires update to Land Use Element for island, fringe, or legacy communities.
Implication of Not Completing Housing Element

- Potential loss of local transportation funds.
- Ineligible to apply for grant funds.
- Exposes County to litigation that could result in court order curtailing development.
Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) Process

California
(Housing and Community Development)

ABAG
2014-2022 RHNA = 187,990 Units

9 Counties

Alameda
44,036 units

Contra Costa
20,630 units

Marin
2,298 units

Napa
1,482 units

San Francisco
28,869 units

San Mateo
16,418 units

Santa Clara
58,836 units

Solano
6,977 units

Sonoma
8,44 units

Antioch
1,448 units

Brentwood
760 units

Clayton
141 units

Concord
3,478 units

Danville
557 units

El Cerrito
398 units

Hercules
682 units

Lafayette
400 units

Martinez
469 units

Moraga
229 units

Oakley
1,163 units

Orinda
227 units

Pinole
297 units

Pittsburg
2,025 units

Pleasant Hill
448 units

Richmond
2,435 units

San Pablo
449 units

San Ramon
1,417 units

Walnut Creek
2,235 units

Unincorp.
CCC
1,367 units
Bay Area Housing Needs Allocation, 2014-2022: Total Units 187,990

*Association of Bay Area Governments*
Contra Costa County Housing Needs Allocation, 2014-2022

County Total Number: 20,630
Unincorporated Total Number: 1,367

*Association of Bay Area Governments*
## Unincorporated County RHNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>1,101</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>1,401</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above Moderate</td>
<td>2,292</td>
<td>1,408</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,436</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,508</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,367</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Key Facts: Contra Costa County Households (HH) Profile**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Households</th>
<th>Unincorporated Area</th>
<th>Countywide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Occupied Units (HH)</strong></td>
<td>55,010</td>
<td></td>
<td>368,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Renter HH</td>
<td>14,980 (26%)</td>
<td>112,420 (30.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Owner HH</td>
<td>43,040 (74%)</td>
<td>255,805 (69.%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Renter HH Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Renter HH</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate or Above Income HH Renter</td>
<td>6,441 (43%)</td>
<td>46,675 (41.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Income HH Renter</td>
<td>8,540 (57%)</td>
<td>65,675 (58.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Owner HH Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Owner HH</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate or Above Income Owner HH</td>
<td>32,440 (75%)</td>
<td>194,060 (76%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Income Owner HH</td>
<td>10,590 (25%)</td>
<td>61,745 (24%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. HUD, CHAS Data Set based on American Community Survey 2006-2010
## 2013 Income Categories for Contra Costa County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family Size</th>
<th>Extremely Low Income (30% AMI)</th>
<th>Very Low Income (50% AMI)</th>
<th>Low Income (80% AMI)</th>
<th>Moderate Income (120% AMI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$19,650</td>
<td>$32,750</td>
<td>$46,350</td>
<td>$78,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$22,450</td>
<td>$37,400</td>
<td>$53,000</td>
<td>$89,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$25,250</td>
<td>$42,100</td>
<td>$59,600</td>
<td>$101,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$28,050</td>
<td>$46,750</td>
<td>$66,250</td>
<td>$112,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$30,300</td>
<td>$50,500</td>
<td>$71,550</td>
<td>$121,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CA Dept. of Housing and Community Development & U.S. Dept. of Labor*
# Key Facts: Ability to Pay (2014)
Contra Costa County Median Household Income*: $93,500

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HH Income by Category</th>
<th>Monthly Rent</th>
<th>Max. Purchase Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extremely Low Income HH</strong> (30% of Median Income): $28,050</td>
<td>$690</td>
<td>$61,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very Low Income HH</strong> (50% of Median Income): $46,750</td>
<td>$1,115</td>
<td>$139,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low Income HH</strong> (80% of Median Income): $66,250 **</td>
<td>$1,403</td>
<td>$290,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moderate Income HH</strong> (120% of Median Income): $112,200</td>
<td>$2,805</td>
<td>$349,016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Contra Costa County Progress Through 2009-2014 Housing Element Cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Level</th>
<th>RHNA Allocation by Income Level</th>
<th>Total Units to Date</th>
<th>Total Remaining RHNA by Income Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above Moderate</td>
<td>1,408</td>
<td>1,647</td>
<td>-264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total RHNA:</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,508</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total permits issued</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add’l Units Through Gen. Plan Amendments</td>
<td>312 SFR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,143(61%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Need for RHNA Period</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,365</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Housing Element Law Required Sites Inventory

Must include:

- Specific sites available for residential development
- Parcel-specific listing and mapping of sites
- Identification of site size
- General Plan designation and Zoning Districts
- Potential environmental and infrastructure constraints on site development
- The existing uses of any non-vacant sites
- Description of any environmental constraints
- Description of existing or planned utilities
HCD Review

- Iterative Process
- Emphasis on Sites Inventory
  - Zoning
  - Capacity
Housing Element Update
Next Steps
# HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE

## TIMELINE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic Update/ Needs Assessment</td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Outreach</td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sites Inventory/ Site Analysis Completion</td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin Preparation of HE Text</td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Commission-Study Session 1(^{st}) draft of Housing Element before HCD submittal</td>
<td>Spring/Summer 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiate CEQA Review</td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCD Review &amp; County Revisions</td>
<td>Summer 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Commission Hearings</td>
<td>Summer 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Hearing/Adoption</td>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit Board Approved Housing Element to HCD for certification</td>
<td><strong>Due by January 31, 2015</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCD Certification</td>
<td>90 Days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ways to Stay Involved

○ Kara Douglas
  Principal Planner
  Phone: (925) 674-7880
  Email: kara.douglas@dcd.cccounty.us

○ Patrick Roche
  Principal Planner
  Phone: (925) 674-7807
  Email: patrick.roche@dcd.cccounty.us
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Program</th>
<th>Program Goal</th>
<th>Key Five-year Objective(s)</th>
<th>Deadline in Housing Element</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Housing and Neighborhood Conservation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Neighborhood Preservation Program</td>
<td>Improve the quality of existing housing &amp; neighborhoods.</td>
<td>Disseminate information.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>38 homes in the CDBG Urban County were rehabilitated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. HACCC Rental Rehabilitation Assistance</td>
<td>Improve the quality of the rental housing stock.</td>
<td>Disseminate information.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>This program has been discontinued due to lack of production and decreasing resources to support the program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Public Housing Improvement</td>
<td>Maintain and improve the quality of the public housing stock.</td>
<td>Maintain and improve 608 public housing units.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The Housing Authority continues to invest approximately $1.7 million annual in repair and improvements of its public housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Weatherization Program</td>
<td>Assist homeowners and renters with minor home repairs.</td>
<td>Assist 250 households.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>2013 - 360 units weatherized in County cities, towns, and communities. The decrease represents a return to pre-stimulus funding levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Code Enforcement</td>
<td>Maintain &amp; improve the quality of existing housing &amp; neighborhoods.</td>
<td>Continue to implement program.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Program is continuing with a 50% staff reduction from 2009 levels. 2013 had 890 cases opened and 796 cases closed. Approximately 87% are residential.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Rental Inspection</td>
<td>Identify blighted and deteriorated housing stock and ensure the rehabilitation of abatement of housing that does not comply with State and local building code.</td>
<td>Continue to implement program.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The program was been suspended due to budget cuts in 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Housing Successor (formerly Redevelopment Replacement Housing)</td>
<td>Provide replacement housing to lower- &amp; moderate-income households.</td>
<td>Continue to facilitate the development of replacement housing as required.</td>
<td>Assess replacement obligations every 2-3 years</td>
<td>The Housing Successor is in compliance with its replacement housing obligations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Condominium Conversion Ordinance</td>
<td>Preserve the rental stock &amp; protect apartment tenants.</td>
<td>Continue to enforce ordinance.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>There were no condominium conversion requests in this reporting period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Housing Production**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Program</th>
<th>Program Goal</th>
<th>Key Five-year Objective(s)</th>
<th>Deadline in Housing Element</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10. New Construction of Affordable Housing</td>
<td>Increase the supply of affordable housing.</td>
<td>Assist in the financing and development of 650 affordable units.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Habitat for Humanity East Bay is seeking entitlements to build 20 affordable units in Bay Point. The County has financed an additional 390 units in the cities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Inclusionary Housing</td>
<td>Integrate affordable housing within market-rate developments.</td>
<td>Continue to implement ordinance.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>In response to the Palmer decision, the County reduced the rental in-lieu fee to $0. Two applications for for-sale housing would require 9 affordable units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Acquisition/Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Improve existing housing and increase supply of affordable housing.</td>
<td>Assist in the acquisition and rehabilitation of 50 affordable units.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>No new applications for HOME or CDBG funds were submitted in 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Second Units</td>
<td>Facilitate the development of second units.</td>
<td>Continue program implementation.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>On 3/15/11, the B/S amended the 2nd unit ordinance to facilitate approval of 2nd unit applications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Needs Housing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Special Needs Housing</td>
<td>Increase the supply of special needs housing.</td>
<td>Provide financial and other incentives for the development of housing for special needs populations.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The County provided CDBG and HOME funds to developers for the Belle Terre (Lafayette), and Berrellesea Palms (Martinez) projects. Both will provide housing for frail seniors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Accessible Housing</td>
<td>Increase the supply of accessible housing.</td>
<td>Require inclusion of accessible units in all new County-funded construction projects.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The County continues to require accessible units in all new construction projects that receive HOME or CDBG funding. Accessible units are included in rehabilitation projects when feasible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15a. Reasonable Accommodation</td>
<td>Increase the supply of special needs and accessible housing.</td>
<td>Document County's reasonable accommodation activities as written procedures.</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td>On 7/26/11, the Board of Supervisors approved a land use permit for Bonita House to operate a adult residential care facility for 10 adults in Knightsen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Contra Costa Interagency Council on Homelessness</td>
<td>Meet the housing &amp; supportive services needs of the homeless</td>
<td>Support development of permanent supportive housing.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>CCICH continues to support the development of permanent supportive housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Housing Affordability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. First-Time Homebuyer Opportunities</td>
<td>Provide additional homeownership opportunities.</td>
<td>Assist 50 low and moderate income first-time homebuyers.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The County provided 11 Neighborhood Stabilization Program loans to low, moderate, and middle-income homebuyers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Section 8 Rental Assistance</td>
<td>Assist very low-income households with rental payments.</td>
<td>Continue to provide Section 8 assistance. Apply for additional vouchers.</td>
<td>Prepare PHAP - Action Plan annually.</td>
<td>The Housing Authority continues to prepare its annual Action Plan and provide Section 8 housing vouchers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Program</td>
<td>Program Goal</td>
<td>Key Five-year Objective(s)</td>
<td>Deadline in Housing Element</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19a. Extremely Low Income Housing</td>
<td>Promote development of housing affordable to extremely low income households.</td>
<td>Continue applying for funding that supports housing for extremely low income households. Promote funding assistance to profit and non-profit builders develop for extremely low income housing projects.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The County continues to provide funding preferences to developers who include units that are affordable to extremely-low income households.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Provision of Adequate Housing Sites**

<p>| 20. Sites Inventory                   | Provide for adequate housing sites, including 'as-right development' sites for homeless facilities                                                                                              | Adopt revised zoning text. Maintain sites inventory.                                                                                                                                                                     | June 2010 for zoning changes. Ongoing maintenance of site inventory. | The County uses Accela to track permits and development activity.     |
| 21. Mixed-Use Developments           | Encourage mixed-use developments.                                                                                                                                                                         | Pursuant to El Sobrante MAC's recommendation, establish mixed use designations under the General Plan for sections San Pablo Dam Road and Applan Way in El Sobrante. | Ongoing                     | Downtown El Sobrante General Plan Amendment (County File: GP#02-0003) was approved June 28, 2011, which established mixed use designations along San Pablo Dam Road and Applan Way corridors. P-1 (Planned Unit) District zoning was approved in 2013. |
| 22. Density Bonus &amp; Other Development Incentives | Support affordable housing development.                                                                                                                                                                  | Offer density bonuses and other incentives for affordable housing.                                                                                                                                                       | Ongoing                     | Two applicants are seeking General Plan Amendments instead of density bonuses. |
| 23. Infill Development                | Facilitate infill development.                                                                                                                                                                            | Identify small vacant multi-family lots with potential for lot consolidation.                                                                                                                                           | Ongoing                     | GIS based land use inventory system has been developed to identify lots zoned for residential use that are suitable for lot consolidation to improve development footprint. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Program</th>
<th>Program Goal</th>
<th>Key Five-year Objective(s)</th>
<th>Deadline in Housing Element</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23a. North Richmond Specific Plan</td>
<td>Prepare and process Specific Plan to convert a 100 (+/-) acre industrial area in North Richmond to new residential neighborhood with potentially 2100 new dwelling units.</td>
<td>Meet and coordinate plan preparation with stakeholders. Complete EIR under CEQA. Conduct public hearings. Board adoption.</td>
<td>December 2010</td>
<td>All work on the North Richmond Specific Plan (Plan) is suspended indefinitely. The preparation of the Plan was being funded by the County Redevelopment Agency (RDA), which funding was lost with the elimination of redevelopment agencies. The draft Plan assumed that financing and construction of required infrastructure would be substantially funded through the RDA. No other public or private entity has come forward to replace the RDA as the applicant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Removal of Governmental Constraints**

| 24. Planned Unit District                           | Provide flexibility in design for residential projects.                     | Encourage rezoning to P-1 District in unincorporated areas, where appropriate. Consider elimination of 5-acre minimum parcel size. | Ongoing                      | The El Sobrante P-1 was approved in 2013.                                                        |
| 25. Planning Fees                                   | Reduce the cost of development.                                            | Offer fee deferrals, reduction, or waivers to developers of affordable housing. | Ongoing                      | The County offered fee deferrals from December 2009 until December 31, 2011. No developers took advantage of the program. |
| 26. Streamlining of Permit Processing               | Expedite review of residential projects.                                  | Consider only Zoning Administrator’s review of projects with <100 units. Expedite permit processing. | Ongoing                      |                                                                                                  |
FEDERAL HOMELESS FUNDING AND YOU!

FEDERAL FUNDING benefitting our Homeless Continuum of Care

- McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Grants
- SAMHSA Homeless Services
- Section 8 Vouchers (both Tenant and Project-based) & Public Housing
- Veterans Homeless Programs

- HOME
- ESG
- FESG
- CDBG
- HOPWA

Formula Grant Programs from HUD

HUD
ALL federal funding starts with the federal budget. Each year, Congress passes a budget allocating funds to federal programs, including a variety of housing and homelessness programs.
HUD's McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Grants program represents the primary source of federal funding for programs serving people experiencing homelessness.

For 2014, Congress funded McKinney-Vento at $2.105 billion.

The applications just submitted by agencies for CC County totaled approx. $9 million.
ABOUT MCKINNEY-VENTO HOMELESS ASSISTANCE GRANTS

- McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Grants fund local, regional, and state homeless assistance programs through the CoC process. A CoC is a geographical administrative unit through which federal homeless assistance funds are distributed (CCICH is the CoC for Contra Costa County).

- Homeless assistance providers in a specific geographic area work together to apply for federal funding. HUD ranks the applications and provides funding based on the quality of the application, the performance of the local homeless assistance system, the need for homeless assistance, and the local rankings of individual programs.

- Funding can be used for permanent and supportive housing, transitional housing, and services. Some funding is also distributed to communities through the Emergency Solutions Grants program.
The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) within HHS provides funding for several programs that provide services to people experiencing or at risk of homelessness.

The President’s fiscal year (FY) 2014 Budget Proposal included $74 million for Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) Homeless Services and $65 million for Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH), which would bring funding back up to FY 2012 levels.
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) Homeless Services programs provide case management and other supportive services — such as outreach, mental health services, substance use counseling, and health care — linked to housing. These integrated programs are highly effective and cost-efficient. From 2007 to 2013, the number of chronically homelessness individuals decreased by approximately 25 percent nationwide. SAMHSA investments have played a role in this decrease.

The largest obstacle for many communities to continue making progress toward ending homelessness is funding for service delivery that fully integrates behavioral and physical health care interventions. An increase in resources for integrated behavioral health services is needed to overcome barriers to accessing mainstream programs, such as Medicaid, welfare, and general substance abuse and mental health services. SAMHSA’s financial support of services in these environments is a critical step on the road to ending homelessness.
Section 8 Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (the “Housing Choice Voucher program”) is the primary program assisting extremely low-income people with the cost of housing.

For 2014, Congress passed legislation included $19.177 billion for Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers (Tenant-Based Rental Assistance), including $75 million for approximately 10,000 new HUD-VASH vouchers and $107 million for new mainstream Section 811 vouchers. This represents an overall funding increase of approximately $1.2 billion for the program but doesn’t return it to pre-sequestration levels.

The Housing Authority of Contra Costa County can issue up to 6,781 vouchers, depending on funding and subsidy levels.
The Section 8 program provides rental assistance for low-income households, with 75 percent of funds being targeted at households living at or below 30 percent of area median income (AMI).

Section 8 tenant-based rental assistance follows participating individuals and families, regardless of whether or not they move over the course of their subsidy. Participants in the program pay 30 percent of their incomes toward housing costs, with the program paying the remainder up to a set maximum amount.

These vouchers are the leading form of low-income housing assistance, serving over two million households, including families with children, elderly households, and people with disabilities.
For 2014, Congress passed legislation included $9.917 billion for Project-Based Rental Assistance.

Project Based Vouchers (PBV) allow Housing Authorities to use vouchers to fund new construction.

Under the project-based voucher program, a PHA enters into an assistance contract with the owner for specified units and for a specified term. The PHA refers families from its waiting list to the project owner to fill vacancies. Because the assistance is tied to the unit, a family who moves from the project-based unit does not have any right to continued housing assistance. However, they may be eligible for a tenant based voucher when one becomes available.
A PBV provides a property owner with committed Section 8 rental funding for 16 years, with a 15-year extension.

This commitment can be used to renovate existing apartments, or to leverage construction funding.

Currently, the CC Housing Authority only provides PBVs to properties that have received funding from HOME or CDBG, to maximize the success of these properties.

In Contra Costa, the HA has funded 418 PBV units, which are worth about $5.9 million in rent and subsidies this year, and about $316 million over the next 30 years.

The most recently opened PBV property is Belle Terre Senior Apartments (45u) in Lafayette. Opening this year are Orinda Senior Apts (67u) and Berrellesa Palms in Martinez (49u).
Public housing provides affordable rental housing for eligible low-income families, the elderly, and persons with disabilities.

Public housing comes in all sizes and types, from scattered single family houses to highrise apartments for elderly families.

Nationwide, there are approximately 1.2 million households living in public housing units, managed by some 3,300 housing authorities.

The Housing Authority of Contra Costa County has 1,177 public housing units spread throughout Contra Costa County.
The Department of Veterans Affairs has a variety of programs targeted toward veterans experiencing or at risk of homelessness.

The 2014 Budget Congress passed includes nearly $1.4 billion for homeless assistance programs within VA, including:
- $300 million for Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSVF);
- $75 million for new HUD-VA Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH) vouchers,
- $278 million for the case management portion of the vouchers (a $33 million increase from final FY 2013 levels); and
- $250 million for the Grant Per Diem transitional housing program, a $15 million increase from final FY 2013 levels.
To meet the Administration's goal of ending veterans' homelessness by the end of 2015, Department of Veterans’ Affairs (VA) Secretary Eric Shinseki has outlined a plan to end veteran homelessness. In order to make this goal a reality, VA works closely with the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and has a variety of programs specifically targeted toward homeless and at-risk veterans.

The Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSVF) program provides cost-effective homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing services, which have been the missing piece in VA’s range of interventions. The program is targeted toward veterans and their families who are facing imminent eviction, or are currently homeless.

SSVF complements more intensive models for veterans with higher needs, including the Grant and Per Diem transitional housing programs and permanent supportive housing through HUD-VASH. VA also provides the case management portion of HUD-VASH through its regional VA Medical Centers.
HUD FORMULA PROGRAMS

Community Development Block Grant
Home Investment Partnerships Program
Housing Opportunities for People with AIDS
Emergency Solutions Grant

CDBG  HOME

HOPWA  ESG
Federal block grant to state & local governments
Designed exclusively to create affordable housing for low-income households
Largest block grant exclusively to create affordable housing for low-income households.
ESG

**Emergency Solutions Grant**

- Provides assistance to individuals and families experiencing housing crisis or homelessness to help them quickly regain stability.
HOPWA

- Provides housing assistance
- Provides supportive services
- Encourages development of community-wide strategies and partnerships with area nonprofit organizations
CDBG

The Basics

- Annual formula grant to assist in development of viable communities given to cities, urban counties and states

- Viable communities are achieved by providing:
  - Decent Housing
  - Suitable Living Environment
  - Expanded Economic Opportunities
CDBG

The Basics

- Most flexible of Consolidated Plan programs
- Principally benefits low- and moderate income persons
  - At or below 80% of median area incomes
- Funds wide array of eligible community development activities
CDBG

Eligible Activities

- Activities Related to Housing
- Other Real Property Activities
- Public Facilities
- Activities Related To Economic Development
- Activities Related To Public Services
FORMULA PROGRAMS

Consolidated Plan

CDBG  HOME

Grantee application for funding

Consolidates four formula grants in one plan

HOPWA  ESG

Community Development Block Grant

Home Investment Partnerships Program

Housing Opportunities for People with AIDS

Emergency Solutions Grant
CON PLAN, AP, CAPER

Con Plan = Strategic Plan
- Submitted every 5 years, in year 1 only
- Covers the entire 5 year period

Annual Action Plan - AP
- Submitted each year of the Strategic Plan
- Includes annual projects, activities and budget
- Projects must achieve stated ConPlan Priorities

Consolidated Annual Performance Report or CAPER
- Submitted at the end of each year of the Strategic Plan
- Reports on the Action Plan activities & accomplishments
- Reports on progress in achieving ConPlan objectives
CON PLAN PROCESS

CONSOLIDATED PLAN

1. Determine Need
2. Set Priorities
3. Determine Resources
4. Set Goals
5. Administer Programs
6. Evaluate Performance
KEY ELEMENTS OF PROCESS

1. Determine Need
2. Set Priorities
3. Determine Resources
4. Set Goals
5. Administer Programs
6. Evaluate Performance

Citizen Participation and Consultation
HOW TO GET THE $$

5 Year Con Plan

Needs/Market

Develop Strategic Plan

Annual Action Plan

CAPER

Year 1

Year 1

Year 2

Year 3

Year 4

Year 5

Year 1
HOW TO GET THE $$$

5 Year Con Plan

Needs/Market

Data - Driven

Year 1

Housing
Non-Housing
Homeless
Non-Homeless
HUD OBJECTIVES & OUTCOMES

OBJECTIVE 1
Suitable Environment

OBJECTIVE 2
Decent Housing

OBJECTIVE 3
Economic Opportunity

OUTCOME 1
Availability/Accessibility
- Enhance SUITABLE ENVIRONMENT through improved/new ACCESSIBILITY
- Create decent housing with improved/new availability
- Provide economic opportunity through improved/new accessibility

OUTCOME 2
Affordability
- Enhance suitable environment through improved/new affordability
- Create DECENT HOUSING with improved/new AFFORDABILITY
- Provide economic opportunity through improved/new affordability

OUTCOME 3
Sustainability
- Enhance suitable environment through improved/new sustainability
- Create decent housing with improved/new sustainability
- Provide ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY through improved/new SUSTAINABILITY
2010-15 CC COUNTY PRIORITY NEEDS

Housing Related Priority Needs (H-1 through H-8):

H-1. **Affordable Rental Housing** - Expand housing opportunities for lower income households through an increase in the supply of decent, safe and affordable rental housing and of rental assistance.

H-2. **Affordable Ownership Housing** - Increase homeownership opportunities for lower income households.

H-3. **Affordable Housing Preservation** - Maintain and preserve the affordable housing stock.

H-4. **Public Housing** - Improve the public housing stock.
H-5. **Continuum of Care** - Adopt the Continuum of Care Plan and the “Ending Homelessness in Ten Years” plan as the overall approaches to addressing homelessness in the Consortium.

H-6. **Homeless Shelters/Housing** - Assist the homeless and those at risk of becoming homeless by helping to provide emergency and transitional housing, permanent affordable housing, and appropriate supportive services.

H-7. **Supportive Housing** - Increase the supply of appropriate and supportive housing for special needs populations.

H-8. **Reduce Development Constraints** - Remove constraints to affordable housing development.
Non-Housing Community Development Related Priority Needs (CD-1 through CD-9):

CD-1. General Public Services - Ensure that opportunities and services are provided to improve the quality of life and independence for lower-income persons, and ensure access to programs that promote prevention and early intervention related to a variety of social concerns such as hunger, substance abuse and other issues.

CD-2. Seniors - Enhance the quality of life of senior citizens and frail elderly and enable them to maintain independence.

CD-3. Youth - Increase opportunities for children/youth to be healthy, succeed in school and prepare for productive adulthood.
CD-4. **Homeless Services** - Reduce incidence of homelessness and assist in alleviating the needs of the homeless.

CD-5. **Non-Homeless Special Needs** - Ensure that opportunities and services are provided to improve the quality of life and independence for persons with special needs, such as disabled persons, battered spouses, abused children, and persons with HIV/AIDS, illiterate adults, and migrant farm workers.

CD-6. **Fair Housing** - Continue to promote fair housing activities and affirmatively further fair housing.
CD-7. **Infrastructure and Accessibility** - Maintain quality public facilities and adequate infrastructure, and ensure access for the mobility-impaired by addressing physical access barriers to public facilities.

CD-8. **Economic Development** - Reduce the number of persons below the poverty level, expand economic opportunities for low- and moderate-income residents, and increase the viability of neighborhood commercial areas.

CD-9. **Administration/Planning** - Support development of viable urban communities through extending and strengthening partnerships among all levels of government and the private sector and administer federal grant programs in a fiscally prudent manner.
ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

- Provide Vision
- Evaluate Need
- Establish Goals
- Approve Funding
- Evaluate Progress

Elected Officials
ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Contra Costa HOME/CDBG Consortium

Consisting of the County, Antioch, Concord, Pittsburg, Walnut Creek

Administer Funded Programs

Request & Review Applications

Collect Data & Monitor Programs

Inform Public

Report on Progress & Performance

Assist Development of Con Plan Components

Administration

Consisting of the County, Antioch, Concord, Pittsburg, Walnut Creek
ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

- Review Con Plan & Annual Plan Applications & Substantial Amendments For Approval
- Ensure Compliance with Program Regulations
- Provide Technical Assistance and Support
- Assess Performance & Progress and Continuing Capacity to Administer

HUD/CPD
RESULTS

Positive Change
- Decent Housing
- Suitable Environment
- Economic Opportunity

Improved
- Process
- Compliance
- Impact
- Accountability